# 18.726: Algebraic Geometry (K.S. Kedlaya, MIT, Spring 2009) Projective morphisms, part 1 (updated 3 Mar 08)

We now describe projective morphisms, starting over an affine base.

## 1 Proj of a graded ring

The construction of Proj of a graded ring was assigned as an exercise; let me now recall the result of that exercise.

Let  $S = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n$  be a graded ring, i.e., a ring such that each  $S_n$  is closed under addition, and  $S_m S_n \subseteq S_{m+n}$ . An element of  $S_n$  is said to be homogeneous of degree n; the elements of  $S_0$  form a subring of S, and each  $S_n$  is an  $S_0$ -module. (One could also define a graded ring to allow negative degrees; on the few occasions where I'll need that construction, I'll call it a graded ring with negative degrees.) Let  $S^+$  denote the ideal  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ .

Let Proj S be the set of all homogeneous prime ideals of S not containing  $S_+$ . For each positive integer n and each  $f \in S_n$ , we may view the localization  $S_f$  as a graded ring with negative degrees, by placing  $g/f^k$  in degree m-kn whenever  $g \in S_m$ . We may then identify the set

$$D(f) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Proj} S : f \notin \mathfrak{p} \}$$

with Spec  $S_{f,0}$ , where  $S_{f,0}$  is the degree zero subring of  $S_f$ . These glue to equip Proj S with the structure of a scheme (note that  $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg)$ ). In the case  $S = A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  where each of  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  is homogeneous of degree 1, this simply produces the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n_A$ .

Any morphism  $S \to T$  of graded rings induces a morphism  $\operatorname{Proj} T \to \operatorname{Proj} S$  of schemes. For example, we say an ideal I of S is homogeneous if as abelian groups we have

$$I = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (I \cap S_n).$$

In other words, if we split each element of I into homogeneous components, the components themselves belong to I. Then S/I may also be viewed as a graded ring, the projection  $S \to S/I$  induces a morphism  $\operatorname{Proj} S/I \to \operatorname{Proj} S$ , and this morphism is a closed immersion (as we see immediately by checking on a D(f)).

Beware that the scheme  $\operatorname{Proj} S$  does not by itself determine the graded ring S. For instance, omitting  $S_1$  gives another graded ring with the same  $\operatorname{Proj}$ . We'll come back to this point later.

More generally, if  $M = \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is a graded S-module, i.e.,  $S_m M_n \subseteq M_{m+n}$  for all m, n, we can convert M into a quasicoherent sheaf  $\tilde{M}$  on Proj S by doing so on each D(f) (using the degree-zero subset of  $M_f$ ) and then glueing. For a converse, see below.

## 2 The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1)$

For S a graded ring, n a nonnegative integer, and M a graded S-module, let M(n) denote the shifted module

$$M(n)_i = M_{n+i}$$
.

Let  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  be the quasicoherent sheaf on  $X = \operatorname{Proj} S$  defined by the graded module S(n). In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_X(0) = \mathcal{O}_X$ . More generally, for any quasicoherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, put  $\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose that S is generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra. Then the sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  on Proj S are locally free of rank 1, and  $\mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_X(m+n)$ .

*Proof.* See Hartshorne, Proposition II.5.12.

Note: a quasicoherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a locally ringed space X which is locally free of rank 1 is also called an *invertible sheaf*. That is because there is a unique sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^{\vee}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , the *dual* of X (exercise). In this case, the dual of  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  is in fact  $\mathcal{O}_X(-n)$ .

This gives us an explanation for what  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  are on the projective space  $\operatorname{Proj} A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ : they are global sections not of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , but rather of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that S is finitely generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra. Then each quasi-coherent sheaf on Proj S can be written as  $\tilde{M}$  for a canonical choice of M.

The finitely generated hypothesis is needed to ensure that  $\operatorname{Proj} S$  is quasicompact; we will impose it pretty consistently hereafter.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasicoherent sheaf on M. Then the module we want is

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n).$$

For the rest of the proof, see Hartshorne, Proposition II.5.15.

Beware that this does not imply that  $S = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$  in general. For a stupid example, take S = A[x], in which case the sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  are all free and so  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) \neq 0$  even when n < 0. For less stupid examples, see Hartshorne exercise II.5.14. However, the following is true.

**Lemma.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. For  $S = A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  with the usual grading (by total degree), we have

$$S = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)).$$

*Proof.* Exercise, or see Hartshorne Proposition II.5.13.

## 3 Closed subschemes of projective spaces

**Proposition.** For  $n \geq 1$ , any closed immersion into  $\mathbb{P}_A^n$  is defined by some homogeneous ideal of  $A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ .

Proof. In fact, there is a canonical way to pick out the ideal. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal sheaf defining the closed immersion; then  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{I})$  is an ideal of  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ , but we already identified the latter with  $S = A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ . (This identification uses the fact that S is finitely generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra, in order to invoke the previous theorem. In fact, it is part of the proof of that theorem; see Hartshorne Proposition II.5.13.)

In general, there may be multiple homogeneous ideals defining the same closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^n_A$ . If we start with an ideal I, pass to the closed subscheme, then use the previous proposition to get back, we get the *saturation* of I, namely, the set of all elements  $f \in A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $x_0^j f, \ldots, x_n^j f \in I$  for some nonnegative integer j. We thus obtain a one-to-one correspondence between closed subschemes of  $\mathbb{P}^n_A$  and *saturated* (equal to their saturation) homogeneous ideals.

**Corollary.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let I be a homogeneous ideal of  $S = A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ . The following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) The subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_A^n$  defined by I is empty.
- (b) The saturation of I equals  $S^+$ .
- (c) For some  $n_0$ , we have  $S_n \subseteq I$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

*Proof.* We just proved the equivalence of (a) and (b). It is clear that (c) implies (b). Let us check that (b) implies (c). Given (b), each  $f \in \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\}$  has the property that  $x_0^j f, \ldots, x_n^j f \in I$  for some j. In particular, we have  $x_0^j, \ldots, x_n^j \in I$  for some j. This in turn implies  $S_{(n+1)(j-1)+1} \subseteq I$  since each monomial of degree (n+1)(j-1)+1 is divisible by one of  $x_0^j, \ldots, x_n^j$  (pigeonhole principle!).

## 4 Projective implies proper

We are now ready to complete the proof that  $f: \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$  is proper. Recall that the missing step was to show that f is universally closed, i.e., for any scheme X, the map  $\mathbb{P}^n_X \to X$  is closed. It is enough to do this in case  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine. Moreover, we may assume  $n \geq 1$ , as the case n = 0 is stupid (because f is an isomorphism).

Let Z be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{P}^n_X$ , suppose  $z \in X$  is not in the image of Z, and put  $k = \kappa(z)$ . We must exhibit an open neighborhood U of x in X such that  $Z \cap \mathbb{P}^n_U = \emptyset$ . Let  $I = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$  be the saturated homogeneous ideal in  $S = A[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  defining Z. Then  $I \otimes_A k$  defines the empty subscheme of Proj  $k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ , but may not be saturated. Nonetheless, for some m, we have that  $I_n \otimes_A k = S_n \otimes_A k$ , and so  $(S_n/I_n) \otimes_A k = 0$ .

Since  $S_n/I_n$  is a finitely generated A-module, by Nakayama's lemma,  $(S_n/I_n) \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for  $\mathfrak{p}$  the prime ideal of A defining z. Again since  $S_n/I_n$  is finitely generated, we have  $(S_n/I_n) \otimes_A A_g = 0$  for some  $g \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $z \in D(g)$  and D(g) is disjoint from the image of Z, proving the claim.

# 5 What is a projective morphism?

Several authors (Hartshorne, Eisenbud-Harris) define a morphism  $f: Y \to X$  to be projective if it is the composition of a closed immersion  $Y \to \mathbb{P}^n_X$  with the projection  $\mathbb{P}^n_X$  for some nonnegative integer n. This definition is evidently stable under base change, but it is not local on the base! Better to say that such a morphism is globally projective, and to say that f is locally projective if each  $x \in X$  admits an open neighborhood U such that  $f: Y \times_X U \to U$  is globally projective.

This is not such a serious distinction in practice, as globally projective equals locally projective if X is "not too large". For instance, this occurs if X is itself globally quasiprojective over an affine scheme. (A morphism is globally quasiprojective if it factors as an open immersion followed by a globally projective morphism. Again, this is stable under base change but not local on the base; the version where we force locality on the base is a quasiprojective morphism.)

The definition of *projective* given in EGA is in fact somewhere between locally and globally projective. More on that later. (Warning: Eisenbud-Harris claim that locally projective and projective are the same. They aren't, but counterexamples are rather pathological.)