

## 18.727, Topics in Algebraic Geometry (rigid analytic geometry)

Kiran S. Kedlaya, fall 2004

### Subsets of the projective line (and $G$ -topologies), part 2

**Reference:** [FvdP, Chapter 2]. Note: I'm going to go through the examples a bit quickly, because I think it's easy enough for you to fill in the details; or see [FvdP]. See also [BGR, 9.1] for  $G$ -topologies.

### Affinoid subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1$ revisited

Let  $F \subset \mathbb{P}^1$  be an affinoid subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Last time, we showed that the ring  $A_F$ , the completion for the supremum norm of the ring of rational functions with poles outside  $F$ , is an affinoid algebra whose maximal ideals are precisely the points of  $F$ .

It will sometimes be useful to cover a connected affinoid subset with “standard” pieces. Namely, let  $F$  be a connected affinoid subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  containing  $\infty$ , and write  $F$  as the complement of the union of the open discs

$$D_i = \{a \in \mathbb{P}^1 : |a - a_i| < r_i\} \quad (a_i \in K, r_i \in \Gamma).$$

Put  $F_i = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D_i$ . Put  $A = A_F$  and  $A_i = A_{F_i}$ . If  $F$  doesn't contain  $\infty$ , you can do likewise but with one “everted” open disc that contains  $\infty$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $F$  be a connected affinoid subset of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and pick some  $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(K) \setminus F$ . Then any element of  $A_F$  can be written uniquely as a rational function, with all zeroes inside  $F$  and all poles at  $a$ , times a unit of  $A_F$ .*

*Proof.* First note that if  $F$  is the closed unit disc and  $a = \infty$ , then we already know (by Weierstrass preparation) that every element of  $A_F = K\langle x \rangle$  is equal to a polynomial in  $x$  times a unit. Moreover, we can factor that polynomial into a part whose roots have norm  $\leq 1$  and a part whose roots have norm  $> 1$ , and the latter is invertible in  $A_F$ . This gives existence of the desired factorization; uniqueness follows because every point in the closed unit disc really does give rise to a maximal ideal of  $A_F$ .

Now consider the general statement. We first check that each  $f \in A_F$  has only finitely many zeroes. It suffices to check this on each  $F_i$ , and also it doesn't hurt to replace  $K$  by a finite extension. But note that after tensoring with a finite extension of  $K$  (whose image under  $|\cdot|$  contains the radius of the disc  $D_i$ ),  $A_{F_i}$  becomes isomorphic to a Tate algebra, which we already looked at above.

There exists a unique rational function  $g$ , with all zeroes inside  $F$  and all poles at  $a$ , whose zeroes are precisely those of  $f$  with the same multiplicities, and this rational function visibly belongs to  $f$ . Moreover,  $f$  is divisible by  $g$  in the localization of  $A_F$  at each maximal ideal, so  $f/g \in A_F$ ; likewise,  $g/f \in A_F$ . This gives existence of the desired factorization; uniqueness again follows because each point of  $F$  really corresponds to a maximal ideal of  $A_F$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If  $F$  is connected, then  $A_F$  is a principal ideal domain.*

Another interesting class of examples are the annuli

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{P} : r_1 \leq |x| \leq r_2\},$$

on which analytic functions are given by Laurent series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ , with  $c_n \in K$ , which converge for  $r_1 \leq |x| \leq r_2$ . (Note that if one of  $r_1$  or  $r_2$  is in  $\Gamma = |(K^{\text{alg}})^*|$  but not in  $|K^*|$ , you have to check this radius of convergence using points in  $\mathbb{P}$ , not just  $K$ -rational points.) If  $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ , you get what [FvdP] calls a *ring domain*; see [FvdP, Example 2.2.5].

## $G$ -topologies

One has a natural topology on  $\mathbb{P}$  induced by the metric topology on  $K$ , but this topology is much too fine to be of any use in doing analytic geometry. In fact the same is true of  $\text{Max } A$  of any affinoid space. To view these objects as locally ringed spaces in a sensible fashion, we need a better topology; unfortunately, this will have to be a Grothendieck topology, but one of a particularly simple form.

Let  $X$  be a set. A  $G$ -topology on  $X$  consists of the following data:

- (i) a family of subsets of  $X$  containing  $\emptyset$  and  $F$ , and closed under finite intersections (the *admissible subsets*);
- (ii) for each admissible subset, a set of (set-theoretic) coverings of  $U$  by admissible subsets (the *admissible coverings*);

subject to the following conditions.

- (a) The covering  $\{U\}$  of an admissible subset by itself is always admissible.
- (b) If  $U, V$  are admissible subsets with  $V \subset U$  and  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible covering of  $U$ , then  $\{U_i \cap V\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible covering of  $V$ .
- (c) If  $U$  is an admissible subset,  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible covering, and we are given an admissible covering of each  $U_i$ , then the union of these coverings is an admissible covering of  $U$ .

We also call admissible subsets *admissible open subsets*, or even *admissible opens*. If we give the topology a name  $T$ , we will speak of  $T$ -admissible opens and coverings, or even just  $T$ -opens and  $T$ -coverings.

If you've seen Grothendieck topologies before, you should be on familiar territory. If not, keep in mind that the point of this definition is to isolate, out of the usual concept of a topology, the bare minimum needed to work with sheaves. Namely, a *presheaf* on a  $G$ -topology is a contravariant functor  $\mathcal{H}$  from the category of admissible subsets (with morphisms being inclusions) to sets (or whatever other objects you have in mind). That is, for each inclusion  $U \subseteq V$  of admissible opens, you get a restriction map  $\text{Res}_{V,U} : \mathcal{H}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(U)$ , and these compose as you expect. (so in particular  $\text{Res}_{U,U}$  is the identity). The presheaf is a *sheaf* if the sheaf axiom is satisfied: whenever  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an admissible covering of an

admissible open  $U$ , specifying an  $f_i \in \mathcal{H}(U_i)$  such that  $\text{Res}_{U_i, U_i \cap U_j}(f_i) = \text{Res}_{U_j, U_i \cap U_j}(f_j)$  for all  $i, j$  uniquely specifies an  $f \in \mathcal{H}(U)$  such that  $\text{Res}_{U, U_i}(f) = f_i$ . Basically everything you know about sheaves carries over to this context: there is a “sheafification” functor, one can make Čech complexes, and so on.

Since all we care about is the sheaf theory on a topology, we want to consider two topologies with the same sheaf theory to be “equivalent”. To wit, we say a  $G$ -topology  $T'$  is *finer* than another  $G$ -topology  $T$  on the same set if every  $T$ -open is  $T'$ -open and every  $T$ -covering is a  $T'$ -covering. We say  $T'$  is *slightly finer* than  $T$  if  $T'$  is finer than  $T$ , and also:

- (a) every  $T'$ -open has a  $T'$ -covering by  $T$ -opens;
- (b) every  $T'$ -covering of a  $T$ -open can be refined to a  $T$ -covering.

The categories of (pre)sheaves on  $T$  and  $T'$  are the same, and the computation of Čech cohomology is the same; this is all easy but boring to show, so see [BGR, Chapter 9] for details. (In more precise abstract nonsense terms, these two topologies determine the same “topos”.)

A map between sets equipped with  $G$ -topologies is *continuous* if every admissible open pulls back to an admissible open, and every admissible covering pulls back to an admissible covering.

Next time, we’ll construct some  $G$ -topologies on  $\mathbb{P}$  and play with them a bit.

## Exercises

1. Let  $I \subset [0, \infty)$  be an interval whose left endpoint is either 0 or lies in  $\Gamma$ , and whose right endpoint lies in  $\Gamma$ , and put

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{P} : |x| \in I\}$$

(so that  $F$  is either a closed disc or an annulus). Let  $M$  be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix over  $A_F$ . Then there exists an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $U$  over  $K[t]$  in case  $0 \in I$ , or  $K[t, t^{-1}]$  in case  $0 \notin I$ , such that  $|MU - I_n|_{A_F, \text{spec}} < 1$ . (Hint: perform “approximate Gaussian elimination”. If you get stuck, see Section 3.2 of my preprint “Semistable reduction II”, on my web site.)

2. (Mittag-Leffler decompositions; [FvdP, Proposition 2.2.6]) Let  $F$  be a connected affinoid subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  containing  $\infty$ , and write  $F$  as the complement of the union of the open discs

$$D_i = \{a \in \mathbb{P} : |a - a_i| < r_i\} \quad (a_i \in K, r_i \in \Gamma).$$

Put  $F_i = \mathbb{P} \setminus D_i$ . Put  $A = A_F$  and  $A_i = A_{F_i}$ , and put

$$A_+ = \{f \in A : f(\infty) = 0\}, \quad A_{i,+} = \{f \in A_i : f(\infty) = 0\}.$$

Prove that  $A_+ = \oplus_i A_{i,+}$ , and that for any elements  $f_i \in A_{i,+}$ , one has

$$\|f_i\|_F = \|f_i\|_{F_i}, \quad \left\| \sum_i f_i \right\|_F = \max_i \{\|f_i\|_F\}.$$

3. Given a  $G$ -topology  $T$ , prove there is a unique finest  $G$ -topology  $T'$  on the same set among those which are slightly finer than  $T$ . (Hint: see [BGR, 9.1].)