

**Math 203B (Algebraic Geometry), UCSD, winter 2013**  
**Solutions for problem set 7**

1. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is finite and  $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$  is affine, then  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra whose underlying  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module is quasicoherent and finitely generated. By a previous homework problem, it has the form  $\tilde{B}$  for some finitely generated  $A$ -module  $B$ , which also inherits a ring structure. Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = B$ , we have a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(B)$ ; by working locally over  $Y$  we may check that this map is an isomorphism.
2. (a) In  $\omega_X$ , we have the relation

$$2y \, dy = P'(x) \, dx.$$

In particular,  $s = dx/(2y)$  is a section of  $\omega_Y$  over the complement of  $P(x) = 0$  in  $X$  and over the complement of  $P'(x) = 0$  in  $X$ . But since  $P$  has no repeated roots,  $P(x)$  and  $P'(x)$  are coprime, and we get a section of  $\omega_Y$  over all of  $X$ . For the same reason,  $x^i s$  is a section of  $\omega_Y$  over all of  $X$ .

It remains to check the order of vanishing at the point at infinity. For this, we note that  $y$  has  $2g+1$  simple zeroes on  $X$  and so must have a pole of order  $2g+1$  at  $\infty$ , while  $x-c$  has 2 simple zeroes on  $X$  for most  $c$  (with some exceptions where roots come together) and so have a pole of order 2 at  $\infty$ . This means that  $dx$  has a pole of order 3 at  $\infty$ , so  $x^i s$  has order of vanishing  $-2i - 3 + (2g+1) = 2(g-1-i)$ . We get a section of  $\omega_Y$  over all of  $Y$  if and only if this order of vanishing is nonnegative, i.e., for  $i = 0, \dots, g-1$ .

- (b) We can write any element of  $H^0(X, \omega_X)$  as  $(Q(x) + 2R(x)y)s$ . In the cokernel of  $d$ , the term  $2R(x)ys$  vanishes because it is  $R(x) \, dx$ , so we need only consider  $Q(x)s$ . But note that

$$d(x^n y) = nx^{n-1}y \, dx + x^n \, dy = (2nx^{n-1}P(x)S'(x) + x^n P'(x))s$$

and that  $2nx^{n-1}P(x)S'(x) + x^n P'(x)$  is of degree exactly  $n+2g$  because the coefficient of  $x^{n+2g}$  is  $2n + (2g+1) \neq 0$ . So in the cokernel of  $d$ , we can rewrite  $Q(x)s$  in terms of a lower-degree polynomial unless  $\deg(Q) \leq 2g-1$ .

3. We start with the given exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

which we already know about. We may identify the middle term with  $\wedge^j \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus(n+1)}$ . To get the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^j \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j)^{\oplus \binom{n+1}{j}} \rightarrow \Omega^{j-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to show that for any ring  $R$  and any short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

of finite free modules, for each  $j > 0$  there is a natural (i.e., not dependent on choices of bases) exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^j M \rightarrow \wedge^j N \rightarrow \wedge^{j-1} M \rightarrow 0.$$

The map from  $\wedge^j M$  to  $\wedge^j N$  is clear. To make a map from  $\wedge^j N$  to  $\wedge^{j-1} M$ , it suffices to make a multilinear map  $f : N^{\oplus j} \rightarrow \wedge^{j-1} M$  which is alternating (i.e.,  $f$  evaluates to 0 whenever two of its inputs coincide). Let  $\pi : N \rightarrow R$  be the map in the exact sequence. We then set

$$f(n_1, \dots, n_j) = \bigwedge_{i=2}^j (\pi(n_1)n_i - \pi(n_i)n_1).$$

Note that  $\pi(\pi(n_1)n_i - \pi(n_i)n_1) = \pi(n_1)\pi(n_i) - \pi(n_i)\pi(n_1) = 0$ , so  $\pi(n_1)n_i - \pi(n_i)n_1$  may be viewed as an element of  $M$ ; we thus have a map  $f : N^{\oplus j} \rightarrow \wedge^{j-1} M$ . Moreover, it is obvious that  $f(n_1, \dots, n_j) = 0$  whenever  $n_1 = n_i$  for some  $i > 1$  (because then there is a zero term in the wedge product) or whenever  $n_i = n_{i'}$  for some  $1 < i < i' \leq j$  (because then there are two identical terms in the wedge product).

4. We will show that for  $i, j = 0, \dots, n$ ,

$$H^i(X, \Omega^j) = \begin{cases} R & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

For  $j = 0$ , this is the calculation of  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  from class. For  $0 < j \leq n$ , using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^j \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j)^{\oplus \binom{n+1}{j}} \rightarrow \Omega^{j-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

from the previous exercise, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{O}(-j))^{\oplus \binom{n+1}{j}} \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X, \Omega^{j-1}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \Omega^j) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}(-j))^{\oplus \binom{n+1}{j}}$$

which also works for  $i = 0$  if we interpret  $H^{-1}$  to be zero. Since  $0 < j \leq n$ ,  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}(-j)) = 0$  for all  $i$ , so we have an isomorphism

$$H^{i-1}(X, \Omega^{j-1}) \cong H^i(X, \Omega^j).$$

This yields the claim by induction on  $j$ .

5. (a) By a previous homework, every sheaf injects into an injective sheaf. So we may first construct

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0.$$

By the same argument, we may construct

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0/\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathcal{I}_1$$

and then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1/\text{im}(d_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$$

and so on.

- (b) Because  $\mathcal{I}_0$  is injective and  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_0$  is an injection, we may extend  $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0$  to get  $f_0 : \mathcal{J}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0$ . Similarly, we may extend  $\mathcal{J}_0/\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{f_0} \mathcal{I}_0/\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{d_0} \mathcal{I}_1$  to a map  $f_1 : \mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1$  and so on.
- (c) Since  $f = 0$ ,  $f_0$  factors through a map  $\mathcal{J}_0/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_0/\mathcal{G}$  injects into  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , we may use the injectivity of  $\mathcal{I}_0$  to extend  $\mathcal{J}_0/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0$  to  $h_1 : \mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0$ . Similarly,  $f_1 - d_0 \circ h_1$  factors through a map  $\mathcal{J}_1/\text{im}(e_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_1$ , so we may use injectivity of  $\mathcal{I}_1$  to obtain  $h_2$  and so on.
6. (a) For a fixed choice of resolutions of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , a map  $H^i(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  corresponding to  $f$  can be defined using part (b) of the previous problem. To show that this map doesn't depend on any choices made in (b), it is enough to check that if  $f = 0$  then the induced maps  $H^i(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  are all zero. But in that case, we may use part (c) of the previous problem to write  $f_i = h_{i+1} \circ e_i + d_{i-1} \circ h_i$  and then note that  $h_{i+1} \circ e_i$  is zero on  $\ker(\mathcal{J}_i(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{i+1}(X))$  while  $d_{i-1} \circ h_i$  has image contained in  $\text{im}(\mathcal{I}_{i-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_i(X))$ . So  $f_i$  is the sum of two maps which both induce the zero map  $H^i(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ .
- (b) Write  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})'$  for the groups computed using two different resolutions. From (a), we get maps  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})'$  and  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})' \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ . When we compose these one way, we get maps  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  corresponding to the identity map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . But by (a), no matter how these maps were constructed they must agree with the maps induced by taking the trivial diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_0 & \xrightarrow{e_0} & \mathcal{I}_1 & \xrightarrow{e_1} & \dots \\
& & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \mathcal{I}_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \dots
\end{array}$$

in which all of the  $f_i$  are identity maps. Hence the induced map  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  is the identity, and likewise for the composition in the other direction. We may thus view our maps as defining a distinguished isomorphism  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F})'$ .

- (c) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is injective, then it by itself forms an injective resolution of itself, so by definition  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .
7. (a) Choose a well-ordering of  $I$ . Given a 1-cocycle  $(s_{i_0 i_1})_{i_0, i_1 \in I}$  (so that  $s_{i_1 i_2} - s_{i_0 i_2} + s_{i_0 i_1} = 0$  for all  $i_0, i_1, i_2 \in I$ ), we will build a sequence of sections  $u_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  for which  $u_j - u_k = s_{jk}$  for all  $j, k \leq i$  as follows. Suppose that the  $u_j$  have been constructed for all  $j < i$ . Because we started with a cocycle, the sections  $u_j - s_{ji} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$  glue to an element of  $\mathcal{F}(\cup_{j < i} U_{ij})$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is flasque, this element extends to an element  $u_i$  of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  doing what we want.
- (b) Construct an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\mathcal{G}$  injective. By a previous exercise,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since we also have an exact sequence

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$$

the map  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{G})$  is injective. But  $H^1(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  by the previous exercise, so  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

This gives the base case of an induction on  $i$ . Given the vanishing for  $i$ , the long exact sequence gives us

$$0 = H^i(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0$$

and so  $H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .