Math 203B (Algebraic Geometry), UCSD, winter 2013 Problem Set 8 (due Wednesday, March 6)

Solve the following problems, and turn in the solutions to *four* of them.

- 1. (a) Let X be an arbitrary locally ringed space (not necessarily a scheme) and let R be a ring. Prove that ring homomorphisms $R \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ are in bijection with morphisms $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ of locally ringed spaces. More precisely, for $R \to \mathcal{O}(X)$ a ring homomorphism, your corresponding map $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ should take x to the inverse image of $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ under $R \to \mathcal{O}(X) \to \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.
 - (b) Let X be the topological space \mathbb{C}^n equipped with the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of holomorphic functions. Check that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a locally ringed space. (All you need to know about holomorphic functions is that on any product of open discs, they are the functions defined by convergent Taylor series.)
 - (c) Let X be the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \{0\}$ by the equivalence relation identifying v with cv for all $c \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Equip X with a sheaf of rings in such a way that for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, the subset $\{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in X : x_i \neq 0\}$ is isomorphic to the locally ringed space \mathbb{C}^n of (b) via the map

$$[x_0:\cdots:x_n]\mapsto \left(\frac{x_0}{x_i},\ldots,\frac{\widehat{x_i}}{x_i},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{x_i}\right)$$

Use (a) to produce an injective map $X \to \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ of locally ringed spaces. This is an example of the process of *analytification* relating complex algebraic and analytic varieties. We'll use this again on the next homework.

- 2. In this problem, we show that if $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism of schemes, it is not always true that the image of an open affine subscheme of X is contained in an open affine subscheme of Y. Let k be an algebraically closed field.
 - (a) Let Z be the affine 4-space over k identified with the space of 2×2 matrices. Prove that there is an open affine subscheme X of Z whose closed points are the invertible 2×2 matrices over k.
 - (b) Construct a surjective morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Hint: $\operatorname{GL}_2(k)$ acts on \mathbb{P}^1_k via linear fractional transformations.
 - (c) Prove that \mathbb{P}^1_k is not affine. Yes, this is "obvious" but you must still provide a reason!
- 3. Describe the image of the diagonal morphism $\Delta : \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}}$ explicitly enough to see that it is closed. By a result stated in class, this implies that $f : \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is separated.
- 4. Using the affine communication lemma, prove that the following properties of a morphism $f: Y \to X$ of schemes are local on the base.

- (a) Quasicompact: the inverse image of any open affine subspace of X is quasicompact.
- (b) Affine: the inverse image of any open affine subspace of X is an open affine subspace of Y. Hint: check your older homework!
- (c) Locally of finite type: for every open affine subscheme $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ of X, every open affine subscheme of $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Spec}(R))$ is $\operatorname{Spec}(S)$ for S a finitely generated R-algebra.
- (d) Locally of finite type, but now check that it is also local on the source.
- (e) Optional: find the flaw in this reasoning. For k a field, an infinite disjoint union of copies of Spec(k) is locally of finite type, but an infinite direct sum of copies of k is not finitely generated as an k-algebra, contradiction.
- 5. Prove Lemma 1 on the handout "Projective and proper morphisms".
- 6. Prove that any finite morphism is proper. Hint: use arguments from the handout "Projective and proper morphisms".
- 7. Throughout this problem, when I write "separated/proper", that means you should check the statement once reading "separated" everywhere, and once more reading "proper" everywhere.
 - (a) Prove that properness is stable under base extension. (We showed in class that separatedness is stable under base extension.)
 - (b) Prove that a composition of separated/proper morphisms is separated/proper.
 - (c) Prove that a product of separated/proper morphisms is separated/proper.
 - (d) Suppose $X \to Y \to Z$ are morphisms such that $X \to Z$ is separated/proper and $Y \to Z$ is separated. Prove that $X \to Y$ is also separated/proper.

Hint: parts (c) and (d) are formal. For (d), notice that the graph morphism $X \to X \times_Z Y$ is a base extension of the diagonal $Y \to Y \times_Z Y$.

8. Suppose that X = Spec(k) for k a field. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a proper morphism such that Y is affine. Prove that f is finite. Hint: build a diagram



project Y onto the individual factors of \mathbb{P}^1_k , and use a previous exercise. (The finiteness is also true for general X, but you don't have to prove this.)