

Math 203B: Algebraic Geometry
UCSD, winter 2016, Kiran S. Kedlaya
Cohomology of quasicoherent sheaves on projective spaces

We now focus on quasicoherent sheaves on projective spaces.

1 A note on closed subschemes

Suppose for a moment that $j : X \rightarrow W$ is a closed immersion. We have already discussed the fact that if \mathcal{F} is a quasicoherent sheaf on X , then $j_*\mathcal{F}$ is a quasicoherent sheaf on W . In fact, we can say a bit more.

Theorem 1. (a) *The functor j_* defines an equivalence of categories between quasicoherent sheaves on X and quasicoherent sheaves on W annihilated by $\mathcal{I} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_X)$.*

(b) *For \mathcal{F} a quasicoherent sheaf on W , the groups $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ and $H^i(W, j_*\mathcal{F})$ are canonically isomorphic for all i .*

Proof. Based on previous statements, both results are easily seen to be true if W is affine. From this, one may deduce a comparison for Čech cohomology groups, and then for sheaf cohomology using spectral sequences. \square

For this reason, statements I make about \mathbb{P}_R^d will typically have immediate consequences for closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}_R^d .

2 A useful exact sequence

When looking at cohomology on \mathbb{P}_R^d , we will frequently use the following observation related to the previous one. Let H be a hyperplane in $X = \mathbb{P}_R^d$, e.g., the zero locus of x_i for some $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$. Then for $j : H \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^d$ the corresponding closed immersion, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow 0.$$

Twisting, we get exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n) \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_H(n) \rightarrow 0.$$

(In fact, this sequence is easier to see when $n \gg 0$.)

3 Cohomology of twisting sheaves: the case $d = 1$

For \mathcal{F} a quasicoherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_R^1 , we can compute $H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{F})$ and $H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{F})$ as the kernel and cokernel of the map

$$\mathcal{F}(D_+(x_0)) \times \mathcal{F}(D_+(x_1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(D_+(x_0x_1)), \quad (s_0, s_1) \mapsto s_0 - s_1,$$

and $H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Let's do this for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(n)$, in which case the map is

$$x_0^n R \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus x_1^n R \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow x_0^n R \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_0 \\ x_0 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We already have observed that the kernel is the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree n in x_0, x_1 , which is nonzero if and only if $n \geq 0$. As for the cokernel, we can write it as $x_0^{-1}x_1^{-1}$ times the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $n - 2$ in x_0^{-1}, x_1^{-1} ; in particular, it is nonzero if and only if $n \leq -2$. To summarize, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$ are free modules of respective ranks $\max\{n + 1, 0\}$ and $\max\{1 - n, 0\}$.

4 Cohomology of twisting sheaves: the general case

Let's again take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(n)$ but now $X = \mathbb{P}_R^d$ with $d \geq 1$ arbitrary. We again know that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$ is the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree n , which is zero if $n < 0$ and otherwise is free of rank $\binom{n+d}{d}$. (Fast way to remember this binomial coefficient: write each monomial as $x_0 \cdots x_0 \times x_1 \cdots \times x_1 \cdots$, then note that the monomials correspond to the choice of the positions of d multiplication signs in a string of length $n + d$.)

Meanwhile, $H^d(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{O}(n))$ is the cokernel of the map

$$\prod_{i=0}^d \mathcal{O}(n)(D_+(x_0 \cdots \widehat{x}_i \cdots x_d)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)(D_+(x_0 \cdots x_d)),$$

and can be written as $x_0^{-1} \cdots x_d^{-1}$ times the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $n - d - 1$ in $x_0^{-1}, \dots, x_d^{-1}$. In particular, it is zero if $n \geq -d$ and otherwise is free of rank $\binom{-n-1}{d}$.

What about the terms in the middle? We claim that $H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{O}(n)) = 0$ for all $0 < i < d$ and all n . We'll complete the proof of this later; for the moment, let me illustrate the case $d = 2$ using the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 0$, this sequence truncates to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) &\rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and by inspection we see that $H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_R^1, \mathcal{O}(n))$ is surjective, so we deduce that $H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n))$. For $n \leq 0$, a similar truncation on the other end yields $H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n))$.

In other words, if we take the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}(n)$, then multiplication by x_2 defines a bijection on $H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{F})$. Now view $S = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{F})$ as a module over $R[x_0, x_1, x_2]$.

On one hand, multiplication by x_2 on S is bijective. On the other hand, if we localize at x_2 , we get (a bunch of copies of) the cohomology of \mathcal{F} on $D_+(x_i)$ computed using the covering $D_+(x_0x_2), D_+(x_1x_2), D_+(x_2)$; and we know that's zero because $D_+(x_i)$ is an affine scheme. These two facts combine to imply that $S = 0$, whence all of the $H^1(\mathbb{P}_R^2, \mathcal{O}(n))$ vanish.

5 Applications to coherent sheaves

All of these are due to Serre.

Theorem 2. *Let $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^d$ be a closed immersion. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent (quasicoherent locally finitely generated) sheaf on X . Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $i > 0$, $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$.*

Proof. By replacing \mathcal{F} with $j_*\mathcal{F}$, we reduce immediately to the case $X = \mathbb{P}_R^d$. We proceed by descending induction on i , noting that the claim is automatic if $i > d$ since we can compute sheaf cohomology using the Čech complex corresponding to the cover by $D_+(x_0), \dots, D_+(x_d)$.

By the previous theorem of Serre, we can find an index $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(n_1)$ is generated by finitely many global sections; that is, we can write down an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n_1) \rightarrow 0$$

for some $k \geq 0$. Twisting, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(n - n_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n - n_1)^{\oplus k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n) \rightarrow 0$$

In the resulting exact sequence

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{O}(n - n_1)^{\oplus k}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{G}(n - n_1)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{O}(n - n_1)^{\oplus k})$$

the outside terms vanish as soon as $n - n_1 \geq -d$ (this is only really at issue when $i + 1 = d$). Meanwhile, by the induction hypothesis, $H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{G}(n - n_1))$ vanishes for n sufficiently large; it follows that $H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{F}(n))$ also vanishes for i sufficiently large. \square

Corollary 3. *Let $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^d$ be a closed immersion. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent (quasicoherent locally finitely generated) sheaf on X . Then for any exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves of modules, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $i > 0$,

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{G}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{H}(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that the ring R is noetherian. Let $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^d$ be a closed immersion. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent (quasicoherent locally finitely generated) sheaf on X . Then the groups $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ are finitely generated R -modules for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Yet again, we reduce to the case $X = \mathbb{P}_R^d$. Again, we operate by descending induction on i , the case $i > d$ being clear. For some n , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(-n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-n)^{\oplus k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

In the resulting exact sequence

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{O}(-n)^{\oplus k}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{G}(-n)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus k}),$$

the outside terms are finitely generated R -modules by calculation, while $H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{G}(-n))$ is a finitely generated R -module by the induction hypothesis. It follows that $H^i(\mathbb{P}_R^d, \mathcal{F})$ is a finitely generated R -module, completing the induction. \square