# Sato-Tate groups of abelian threefolds: adventures in SU(3)

Kiran S. Kedlaya joint with Francesc Fité and Andrew Sutherland (arXiv:2106.13759)

> Department of Mathematics, University of California San Diego kedlaya@ucsd.edu
>
> These slides are available from https://kskedlaya.org/slides/.

Poznań–Szczecin arithmetic geometry seminar (virtual) July 8, 2021

Kedlaya was supported by NSF (grant DMS-1802161 and prior), UC San Diego (Warschawski Professorship), and IAS (Visiting Professorship). Fité was supported by IAS (NSF grant DMS-1638352). Sutherland was supported by NSF (grant DMS-1522526 and prior) and the Simons Collaboration on Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory, and Computation.

The UC San Diego campus sits on unceded ancestral land of the Kumeyaay Nation. The Kumeyaay people continue to have an important and thriving presence in the region: https://www.kumeyaay.info.

# L-functions of algebraic varieties

Let k be a number field with absolute Galois group  $G_k$ . For each finite place  $\mathfrak p$  of k, choose a decomposition group  $G_{\mathfrak p}\subset G_k$ , let  $I_{\mathfrak p}\subset G_{\mathfrak p}$  be the inertia subgroup, and let  $\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak p}\in G_{\mathfrak p}/I_{\mathfrak p}$  be the Frobenius element.

Let X be a smooth proper scheme of dimension d over k. For  $i=0,\ldots,2d$ , the Weil conjectures imply that the L-polynomial

$$L_{X,i,\mathfrak{p}}(T)=\det(1-T\operatorname{\mathsf{Frob}}_{\mathfrak{p}},H^i_{\operatorname{et}}(X_{\overline{k}},\mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}})$$

belongs to  $1 + T\mathbb{Z}[T]$ .\*

The *L*-function  $L_{X,i}(s)$  is defined for  $\operatorname{Real}(s) \gg 0$ , then (conjecturally) meromorphically extended to  $\mathbb{C}$ , by setting

$$L_{X,i}(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} L_{X,i,\mathfrak{p}}(\mathsf{Norm}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>If the weight-monodromy conjecture holds for X, then this does not depend on  $\ell$ .

## L-polynomials of algebraic varieties

Hereafter, we consider only finite places  $\mathfrak p$  at which X admits a smooth model, with mod- $\mathfrak p$  reduction  $X_{\mathfrak p}$ . For  $q=\operatorname{Norm}(\mathfrak p)$ , the zeta function of  $X_{\mathfrak p}$  has the form

$$Z(X_{\mathfrak{p}},T) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#X_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \frac{T^n}{n}\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{2d} L_{X,i,\mathfrak{p}}(T)^{(-1)^{i+1}}.$$

By the Weil conjectures, the roots of  $L_{X,\mathfrak{p},i}$  have  $\mathbb{C}$ -absolute value  $q^{-i/2}$ . It is thus natural to consider the **normalized** L-**polynomial** 

$$\overline{L}_{X,i,\mathfrak{p}}(T) = L_{X,i,\mathfrak{p}}(Tq^{-i/2}) \in 1 + T\mathbb{R}[T]$$

which has roots on the circle |T| = 1.

# The Sato-Tate group of an abelian variety

Hereafter, we take X=A to be an abelian variety of dimension g and take i=1. Then there exist a compact Lie group ST(A) contained in USp(2g) (the group of unitary symplectic  $2g \times 2g$  matrices) and a sequence of conjugacy classes  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} \in Conj(ST(A))$  such that

$$\overline{L}_{A,1,\mathfrak{p}}(T)=\det(1-TF_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

For generic A we have ST(A) = USp(2g).

The **generalized Sato–Tate conjecture** for A is that the  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  are uniformly distributed<sup>†</sup> in  $\mathsf{Conj}(\mathsf{ST}(A))$  for the image of Haar measure. This would follow from the analytic continuation of "enough" arithmetic L-functions.

<sup>†</sup>This is a strictly stronger assertion than the statement that the characteristic polynomials are equidistributed, due to fusion from ST(A) to USp(2g). We will see later that this fusion can conflate different groups; to separate them one must work with representations of USp(2g) other than the standard one.

#### The case of dimension 1

For A = E an elliptic curve, we have

$$L_{A,1,\mathfrak{p}}(T) = 1 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}T + qT^2, \qquad |a_{\mathfrak{p}}| \leq 2\sqrt{q}.$$

There are three possibilities for ST(A) as a conjugacy class of subgroups of SU(2) = USp(2).

- If E does not have complex multiplication, then ST(A) = SU(2).
- If E has complex multiplication by a quadratic field contained in k, then ST(A) = SO(2).
- If E has complex multiplication by a quadratic field not contained in k, then ST(A) is the normalizer of SO(2) in SU(2). This is a **disconnected** compact Lie group; the component group  $\pi_0(ST(A))$  is cyclic of order 2.

# Relationship with the motivic Galois group

Under suitable motivic conjectures, the Sato–Tate group can be described in terms of the **motivic Galois group** of the 1-motive of *A* (Serre). This can be made more concrete and explicit (Banaszak–K) precisely in cases where the Mumford–Tate conjecture is known (Commelin–Cantoral Farfán).

In this talk, we will be interested in the case  $g \leq 3$ . Then things simplify because all Hodge classes on powers of A are linear combinations of powers of hyperplane classes, so the Mumford–Tate group and the Sato–Tate group are both controlled by endomorphisms.

## **Endomorphisms**

Pick an embedding  $k\hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and equip  $H_1(X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathbb{Q})$  with the symplectic form  $\psi$  coming from the cup product. For  $g\leq 3$ , we can characterize  $\mathrm{ST}(A)$  as the subgroup of  $\mathrm{USp}(2g)$  consisting of those elements which carry

$$\mathsf{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}\subset \mathsf{End}(H^1(X^{\mathsf{an}}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathbb{C}))$$

to itself via the action of some element of  $G_k$ .

From the construction, we have a canonical group isomorphism

$$\pi_0(\mathsf{ST}(A)) \cong \mathsf{Gal}(L/k)$$

where L is the **endomorphism field** of A: the minimal field of definition of all endomorphisms of  $A_{\overline{L}}$ .

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$  For g>3, a similar statement holds provided that the Mumford–Tate group is controlled by endomorphisms. Otherwise, one must replace endomorphisms with the algebra of absolute Hodge cycles.

#### The case of surfaces

#### Theorem (Fité–K–Rotger–Sutherland, 2012)

There are 52 conjugacy classes of closed subgroups of USp(4) which occur as ST(A) for some abelian surface A over some number field K.

- This includes 6 options for  $ST(A)^{\circ}$ ; see next slide.
- $\#\pi_0(ST(A))$  divides  $48 = 2^4 \times 3$  (and this value occurs).
- The 52 cases correspond to distinct distributions of  $\overline{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .
- The theorem is quantified over all K. If we require  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , then 34 cases occur. If we require K to be totally real, then 35 cases occur.
- There is a field K over which all 52 cases occur (Fité-Guitart).
- Nothing changes if we restrict to principally polarized abelian surfaces or even Jacobians. FKRS give explicit genus 2 curves in all cases.

## Identity components vs. extensions: the case of surfaces

$End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_{\mathbb{R}}$	ST( <i>A</i> )°	Extensions	Maximal	
$\mathbb{R}$	USp(4)	1	1	
$\mathbb{R}  imes \mathbb{R}$	$SU(2) \times SU(2)$	2	1 1 2	
$\mathbb{C}  imes \mathbb{R}$	U(1)  imes SU(2)	2		
$\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$	U(1)  imes U(1)	5		
$M_2(\mathbb{R})$	SU(2) <sub>2</sub>	10	2	
$M_2(\mathbb{C})$	$U(1)_2$	32	2	
Total		52	9	

Here  $*_2$  denotes the diagonal embedding.

**Warning**: if A is geometrically simple,  $ST(A)^{\circ}$  can still be decomposable because it only depends on  $End(A_{\overline{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . For example, if A has CM by a quartic field K, then  $End(A_{\overline{k}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

#### The case of threefolds

#### Theorem (Fité-K-Sutherland, 2021 preprint)

There are 410 conjugacy classes of closed subgroups of USp(6) which occur as ST(A) for some abelian threefold A over some number field K.

- This includes 14 options for  $ST(A)^{\circ}$  (Moonen–Zarhin).
- $\#\pi_0(ST(A))$  divides§ one of  $192 = 2^6 \times 3$ ,  $336 = 2^4 \times 3 \times 7$ ,  $432 = 2^4 \times 3^3$  (and these values occur).
- The 410 cases correspond to only 409 distinct distributions of  $\overline{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The two cases that collide have distinct component groups.
- We do not know what happens if we restrict K.
- We do not know what happens if we require a principal polarization.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  This refines earlier estimates by Silverberg and Guralnick-K, the latter computing the LCM of all values of  $\#\pi_{0}(ST(A))$ .

I previously announced that all cases can be realized with a principal polarization; we no longer believe this. Our examples include polarizations of degree 1, 2, 3, 6.

# Identity components vs. extensions: the case of threefolds

$End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})_{\mathbb{R}}$	$ST(A)^\circ$	Extensions	Maximal	
$\mathbb{R}$	USp(6)	1	1	
$\mathbb{C}$	U(3)	2	1	
$\mathbb{R}  imes \mathbb{R}$	SU(2)  imes USp(4)	1	1	
$\mathbb{C}  imes \mathbb{R}$	U(1)  imes USp(4)	2	1	
$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$	$SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$	4	1	
$\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$	$U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$	5	1	
$\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}$	U(1)  imes U(1)  imes SU(2)	5	2	
$\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}$	U(1)  imes U(1)  imes U(1)	13	3	
$\mathbb{R}  imes M_2(\mathbb{R})$	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_2$	10	2	
$\mathbb{R}  imes M_2(\mathbb{C})$	$SU(2)  imes U(1)_2$	32	2	
$\mathbb{C}  imes M_2(\mathbb{R})$	$U(1)  imes SU(2)_2$	31	2	
$\mathbb{C}  imes M_2(\mathbb{C})$	$U(1)  imes U(1)_2$	122	2	
$M_3(\mathbb{R})$	SU(2)₃	11	2	
$M_3(\mathbb{C})$	$U(1)_3$	171	12	
Total		410	33	

#### An initial subdivision

For each candidate  $G^{\circ}$  for  $ST(A)^{\circ}$ , candidates for G correspond to conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of  $N/G^{\circ}$  where N is the normalizer of  $G^{\circ}$  in USp(6). We distinguish four subcases.

- Indecomposable:  $G^{\circ} = USp(6), U(3)$ . In these cases, the only options are USp(6), U(3), N(U(3)).
- **Split product:**  $G^{\circ}$  factors as a nontrivial product  $G_1^{\circ} \times G_2^{\circ}$  with no shared factors between the two sides (i.e., U(1)  $\times * \times SU(2)$  or  $* \times *_2$ ). In these cases, N splits as  $N_1 \times N_2$ , so we can reduce to the classification for elliptic curves and abelian surfaces.
- Triple products:  $G^{\circ} = SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2), U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ . In these cases,  $N/G^{\circ}$  is finite.
- Triple diagonals:  $G^{\circ} = SU(2)_3$ ,  $U(1)_3$ . In these cases,  $N/G^{\circ}$  is infinite, but there is a bound on the order of elements in  $N/G^{\circ}$  coming from the **rationality condition** (see below).

## The upper bound: a group-theoretic classification

For each candidate  $G^{\circ}$  for  $ST(A)^{\circ}$ , we identify all extensions of  $G^{\circ}$  within USp(6) satisfying the **rationality condition**: for every representation of USp(6), the average trace on each coset of  $G^{\circ}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

This gives the correct upper bound except when  $G^{\circ}$  includes multiple factors of U(1), in which case one must rule out some cases using Shimura's theory of CM types. (For  $G^{\circ} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1)$ ,  $[N:G^{\circ}] = 48$  but  $[G:G^{\circ}] \leq 8$ .)

Most of the work occurs when  $G^{\circ} = U(1)_3$ ; in this case  $N = U(3) \times C_2$ . More on this later.

# The lower bound: realization by PPAVs

By base extension, for each  $G^{\circ}$  it suffices to realize each **maximal** candidate for G using some abelian threefold over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- ullet For  $G^{\circ}$  indecomposable, use generic hyperelliptic and Picard curves.
- For  $G^{\circ}$  a split product, use products of lower-dimensional examples. In all cases except  $G^{\circ} = U(1) \times U(1)_2$ , we also find explicit examples of genus 3 curves.
- For  $G^{\circ} = SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$ ,  $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ ,  $SU(2)_3$ , we find explicit examples of genus 3 curves.
- For  $G^{\circ} = U(1)_3$ , we realize G by twisting either the cube of an elliptic curve with CM by an imaginary quadratic field M, or an isogenous abelian variety. The twist uses a Galois cocycle valued in a subgroup of  $GL(3, \mathfrak{o}_M)$  with projective image  $G/G^{\circ}$ .

These are almost all complex reflection groups, which makes it easy to solve the embedding problem needed to construct the cocycle. To make explicit examples, we use the LMFDB tables of number fields.

# The case $G^{\circ} = \mathsf{U}(1)_3$

We classify groups G which can occur as the Sato–Tate group of an abelian threefold with  $G^{\circ}=\mathsf{U}(1)_3$ . The normalizer N of  $G^{\circ}$  in  $\mathsf{USp}(6)$  is

$$\mathsf{U}(3) \rtimes \mathrm{C}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \rtimes \langle J \rangle, \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathit{I}_3 \\ -\mathit{I}_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify finite subgroups of  $H=N/G^\circ=U(3)/U(1)_3
times \mathrm{C}_2$  satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix} \in H \cap \mathsf{U}(3)/\,\mathsf{U}(1)_3 \Longrightarrow |\mathsf{Trace}(A)|^2 \in \mathbb{Z};$$

this is the rationality condition for the representation  $\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^6$  of USp(6).

The inclusion  $SU(3) \subset U(3)$  induces an isomorphism

$$PSU(3) = SU(3)/\mu_3 \cong U(3)/U(1)_3.$$

We may thus assume that  $H \subset SU(3)/\mu_3 \rtimes C_2$ , then replace H with its inverse image in  $SU(3) \rtimes C_2$  (and remember that it contains  $\mu_3$ ).

# The finite subgroups of SU(3)

The finite subgroups of SU(3) containing  $\mu_3$  were classified in 1916 by Blichfeldt–Dickson–Miller. They come in four infinite families:

- (A) abelian groups, which conjugate into the diagonal torus;
- (B) subgroups of SU(2) which are projectively  $D_n, A_4, S_4, A_5$ ;
- (C) groups projectively of the form  $* \times C_3$ ;
- (D) groups projectively of the form  $* \times S_3$ ;

together with six exceptional cases which we label by their projective orders:

$$E(36), E(72), E(216), E(60), E(360), E(168).$$

Of these, the first three are an increasing sequence of solvable groups ending with the **Hessian** group; the last three have simple projective images  $A_5, A_6, PSL(2,7)$ .

# The rationality condition for cyclic groups

To impose the (restricted) rationality condition for cyclic groups, it is enough to treat the group generated by a diagonal matrix  $\operatorname{Diag}(a,b,c)$  where a,b,c are roots of unity with abc=1. The rationality condition for  $\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^6$  implies that  $|a^n+b^n+c^n|^2\in\mathbb{Z}$ . For x=a/b,y=b/c,z=c/a, this becomes

$$(x^n + x^{-n}) + (y^n + y^{-n}) + (z^n + z^{-n}) \in \mathbb{Z}$$
  $(n = 1, 2, ...).$ 

We resolve the case n=1 using the classification of short additive relations among roots of unity (Mann, Włodarski, Conway–Jones). In particular, either (a+b)(b+c)(c+a)=0 or  $a^m=b^m=c^m=1$  for some  $m\leq 90$ .

We then formally deduce the general case. We find that  $a^m = b^m = c^m = 1$  for some m < 36.

## The rationality condition for noncyclic groups

From the previous calculation, we obtain a finite set S of conjugacy classes in SU(3) with the property: a finite subgroup of SU(3) satisfies the (restricted) rationality condition iff it is contained in the union over S.

At this point, it is "straightforward" to step through the classification of finite subgroups of SU(3) to impose rationality. We obtain 63 groups in all.

# Extensions: standard, split, and nonsplit

Fix a subgroup  $H \subset SU(3)$  in our classification. How can it occur as the intersection with SU(3) of a finite subgroup of  $SU(3) \times C_2$ ?

In our classification, we construct explicit representatives such that in all but one case, H is stable under complex conjugation. We thus get one extension of the form  $H \cup JH$ , which we call **standard**.

We then classify additional extensions by computing the normalizer  $N_H$  of H in SU(3). We call these **split** or **nonsplit** according to whether they are of the form  $H \rtimes \mathrm{C}_2$ . (Warning: an extension group is **not** uniquely determined by its extension class!)

For any given H, we obtain at most one extension of each type (standard, split, nonsplit)

#### Table of results

Case		J	Js	$J_n$	Total
Abelian groups		22	15	9	60
Extensions by C <sub>2</sub>		18	12	0	48
Exceptional groups from SU(2)		5	4	0	15
Extensions by A <sub>3</sub>		7	5	0	19
Extensions by $S_3$		6	0	0	12
Solvable exceptional groups		3	0	1	7
Simple exceptional groups		1	0	0	2
Total		62	36	10	171

Numbers of finite subgroups of  $SU(3) \times C_2$  accounted for at the various stages of the classification. The columns  $H, J, J_s, J_n$  count subgroups of SU(3), standard extensions, split nonstandard extensions, and nonsplit nonstandard extensions.

#### Moment statistics

For G a closed subgroup of USp(6) and  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  nonnegative integers, the **moment**  $M_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$  of G can be interpreted either as:

- the expected value of  $a_1^{e_1} a_2^{e_2} a_3^{e_3}$  where  $1 + a_1 T + \cdots + T^6$  is the charpoly of a random element of G;
- the dimension of the G-fixed subspace of  $(\mathbb{C}^6)^{\otimes e_1} \otimes (\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^6)^{\otimes e_2} \otimes (\wedge^3 \mathbb{C}^6)^{\otimes e_3}$ . (This is a nonnegative integer!)

For our 410 groups, we obtain 409 distinct collections\*\* of moments. The collision comes from two cases with identity component U(1)<sub>3</sub> whose  $\pi_0$ 's are distinct groups of order 54 with a common index-2 subgroup.

<sup>\*\*</sup>It suffices to consider triples with  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \le 6$ .

#### Other statistics

An alternative to moments was suggested by Shieh: for a given representation V, compute the dimension of the G-fixed subspace of  $V \otimes V$ . These **diagonal character norms** give statistics with better convergence than moments.

When comparing to *L*-function data, it is useful to also record the density of points on which  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are constant; e.g., for a non-CM elliptic curve,  $a_1 = 0$  with density 1/2. (By parity, only the value 0 can occur for  $a_1, a_3$  with positive density, but  $a_2$  can take other integer values.)

# Averaging over Sato-Tate groups

Let G be a closed subgroup of USp(6). We say G is of **central type** if G can be written as  $\langle G^{\circ}, H \rangle$  for some finite subgroup H such that for each  $h \in H$ , the map

$$G^{\circ} o \mathbb{R}[T], \qquad g \mapsto \det(1 - ghT)$$

is a class function.

In this case, averaging a class function over a component of G can be achieved by averaging a related class function over  $G^{\circ}$ . We can then use the Weyl character formula again to do the averaging.

This leaves a few sticky cases, notably N(U(3)). In this case we use a method of Lee–Oh based on work of Bump–Gamburd. More on this in Francesc's talk...