## 18.726: Algebraic Geometry (K.S. Kedlaya, MIT, Spring 2009) Problem Set 10 (due Friday, April 24, in class)

Please submit *nine* of the following exercises (counting with multiplicities as indicated), including all items marked "Required". More exercises than usual this week are from Eisenbud-Harris; let me know if you need access to a copy.

- 1. Recall that a *paracompact* topological space is a Hausdorff space on which every open covering admits a locally finite refinement. Prove that if X is paracompact, then for every locally finite open covering  $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of X, there exists another open covering  $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  of X with the same index set, such that for each  $i \in I$ , the closure of  $V_i$  in X is contained in  $U_i$ . (I include this mostly so that you may assume it for the next exercise. See Bourbaki's *Topologie generale*.)
- 2. Let X be a paracompact topological space.
  - (a) Let  $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{V}$  be as in the previous exercise. Let  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  be a surjective morphism of sheaves of abelian groups on X. Prove that for any open subset T of X, any element  $s \in \Gamma(\check{C}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{G}), T)$  lifts to  $\Gamma(\check{C}^i(\mathfrak{W}, \mathcal{F}), T)$  for some refinement  $\mathfrak{W}$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$ . (Hint: for each  $x \in X$ , one can find an open neighborhood  $W_x$  of x meeting only finitely many of the  $U_i$ . Show that you can choose  $W_x$  so that  $x \in U_i$  implies  $W_x \subseteq U_i, x \in V_i$  implies  $W_x \subseteq V_i, W_x \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  implies  $x \in U_i$ , and  $x \in T$  implies s lifts to  $W_x$ .)
  - (b) Use this to show that the functors

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{U}} \check{C}^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

are exact, and so conclude that Cech cohomology and sheaf cohomology coincide on a paracompact space.

- 3. Hartshorne III.4.10.
- 4. Hartshorne III.5.1.
- 5. (Counts as two) Hartshorne III.5.8.
- 6. (Required) Hartshorne III.5.10.
- 7. (Counts as two) In this exercise, we classify vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ , for k an algebraically closed field; this is due to Grothendieck, based on ideas of Serre. Throughout, let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote a finitely generated locally free quasicoherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ ; let d denote the rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and define the *degree* of  $\mathcal{F}$  as the unique integer n such that  $\wedge^d \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}(n)$ ; this exists and is unique by Corollary II.6.17. (Compare Hartshorne exercise V.2.6 (sic).)

(a) Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(n_1) \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}(n_2) \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence of quasicoherent sheaves and that  $n_1 < n_2$ . Prove that there exists a rank 1 subbundle of  $\mathcal{F}$  of degree  $> n_1$ . (Hint: twist to reduce to the case  $n_1 = -1$ , then take cohomology.)

(b) Suppose that

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(n_1) \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}(n_2) \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence of quasicoherent sheaves and that  $n_1 \ge n_2$ . Prove that the exact sequence splits. (Hint: twist to reduce to the case  $n_2 = 0$ , then take cohomology again.)

(c) Prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a subsheaf isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  for some n; deduce that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a "composition series"

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_d = \mathcal{F}$$

by subsheaves with  $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  locally free of rank 1 for  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . (Hint: if  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonzero, then it admits a rational section.)

- (d) Prove that the set of degrees of rank 1 subsheaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded above. (Hint: compare to a filtration as in (c).)
- (e) Prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a composition series with

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{F}_0) \ge \deg(\mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1) \ge \cdots \ge \deg(\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}).$$

(Hint: take  $\mathcal{F}_1$  to be a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  with degree as large as possible.)

- (f) Prove that  $\mathcal{F} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{O}(n_i)$  for some integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_d$ .
- 8. (Required) Let X be a nonempty closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$ , for k an algebraically closed field. Prove that for a generic hyperplane H, we have  $\dim(X \cap H) < \dim(X)$ ; that is, the hyperplanes H for which this fails correspond to the points of a closed subscheme of the Grassmannian. (This Grassmannian is itself a projective space, in the coefficients describing H in terms of  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$ .)
- 9. (Eisenbud-Harris III-58) Let A be a noetherian ring. Let X be a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_A^r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . Prove that for any nonnegative integer n, the function

$$t \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(t)} \Gamma(X_t, \mathcal{O}(n))$$

is upper semicontinuous; that is, for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the set of points where the function has value at least m is closed in Spec A.

- 10. Eisenbud-Harris III-60.
- 11. (Required) The *Hilbert function* of a closed subscheme X of a projective space over a field k is the function on nonnegative integers defined by  $n \mapsto \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(n))$ .

- (a) Find the Hilbert polynomial and the Hilbert function of all subschemes of the plane of length 3 over an algebraically closed field.
- (b) Give an example of two schemes with the same Hilbert polynomial but not the same Hilbert function.
- 12. Eisenbud-Harris III-66.
- 13. Check the numerical criterion for flatness explicitly for Hartshorne Example III.9.8.4.