

**Math 203B: Algebraic Geometry**  
**UCSD, winter 2016, Kiran S. Kedlaya**  
**Affine schemes**

In this lecture, we start with a ring  $R$  and construct from it an *affine scheme* in a manner analogous to the construction of an affine variety. Basic commutative algebra will be assumed; see Atiyah-Macdonald if you need a refresher.

See also: Hartshorne II.1, II.2.

## 1 The Zariski prime spectrum

We start with the underlying topological space. Let  $\text{Spec}(R)$  be the set of prime ideals of  $R$ , i.e., the set of ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain. By convention, the zero ring is not an integral domain, so the unit ideal is not prime.

For each ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , define the set

$$V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

The sets  $V(I)$  satisfy the usual rules for closed sets in a topological space:

- The empty set is  $V(R)$ , while the set  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is  $V(0)$ .
- Given any number of sets  $V(I_j)$ , their intersection is  $V(I)$  where  $I$  is the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $\cup_j I_j$ .
- Given two sets  $V(I_1), V(I_2)$ , their union is  $V(I_1 \cap I_2)$ . (It is obvious that  $V(I_1) \cup V(I_2) \subseteq V(I_1 \cap I_2)$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an ideal not containing  $I_1$  or  $I_2$ , we can choose  $f_1 \in I_1, f_2 \in I_2$  not in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and then  $f_1 f_2 \in I_1 \cap I_2$  is not in  $\mathfrak{p}$  either.)

The resulting topology is called the *Zariski topology* on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

For any ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  and any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ , the induced map  $R/f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}$  is injective, and moreover  $1 \notin f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  because  $f(1) = 1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $R/f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  is an integral domain, i.e.,  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  is prime, and we have an induced map  $f^* : \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(V(I)) = V(f^{-1}(I))$ ,  $f^*$  is continuous.

## 2 Distinguished open subsets

For  $f \in R$ , define the *distinguished open subset*

$$D(f) = \text{Spec}(R) - V((f))$$

to be the set of all prime ideals not containing  $f$ . Every open set is a union of these:

$$\text{Spec}(R) - V(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} D(f).$$

Since  $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg)$ , such open subsets form a basis of the Zariski topology.

What makes this kind of open subset special? Let  $R_f$  be the localization of  $R$  at the multiplicative set generated by  $f$ , which can also be described as  $R[f^{-1}] = R[T]/(Tf - 1)$ ; then the map  $R \rightarrow R_f$  induces a map  $\text{Spec}(R_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  which in turn induces a homeomorphism  $\text{Spec}(R_f) \cong D(f)$ . That is,  $D(f)$  is itself naturally thought of as the prime spectrum of  $R_f$ ; this perspective will be crucial in leading us to the construction of the structure sheaf.

### 3 A look ahead: properties of the structure sheaf

I like to call this the *first fundamental theorem of schemes*.

**Theorem 1** *There exists a unique (up to unique isomorphism) sheaf of rings  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $\text{Spec}(R)$  such that there exist isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{O}(D(f)) \cong R_f \quad (f \in R)$$

*compatible with restriction: for  $f, g \in R$ , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(D(f)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(D(fg)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_f & \longrightarrow & R_g \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

This description is not itself a definition of a sheaf, as that requires specifying the value of  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  for *every* open set  $U$ , not just the distinguished ones. What we are using here to get away with only referring to distinguished opens is the local nature of sheaves.

### 4 A key corollary

The following statement is an immediate corollary of the theorem, but we will actually prove it first and then use it to deduce the theorem.

**Corollary 2** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$  be a finite sequence of elements which generate the unit ideal (equivalently,  $\text{Spec}(R) = D(f_1) \cup \dots \cup D(f_n)$ ). Then*

$$R \cong \ker \left( \prod_{i=1}^n R_{f_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^n R_{f_i f_j}, \quad (s_i)_i \mapsto (s_i - s_j)_{i,j} \right)$$

*via the diagonal map.*

Since the purported isomorphism is a morphism of  $R$ -modules, we may check that it is indeed an isomorphism by doing so locally; that is, it is enough to check that for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \ker \left( \prod_{i=1}^n R_{f_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^n R_{f_i f_j}, \quad (s_i)_i \mapsto (s_i - s_j)_{i,j} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

(Reminder: for  $M$  an  $R$ -module and  $S$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$ ,  $M_S$  is the set of formal quotients  $m/s$  with  $s \in S$  modulo the relations  $m/s = (ms')/(ss')$ .) Since localization preserves kernels, I can move that localization inside the parentheses, to rewrite the claim as

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \ker \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (R_{f_i})_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^n (R_{f_i f_j})_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (s_i)_i \mapsto (s_i - s_j)_{i,j} \right).$$

Here  $(R_{f_i})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  can be reinterpreted as  $(R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_i}$ , because both of them equal  $R_S$  where  $S$  is the multiplicative subset of  $R$  generated by  $f_i$  and the complement of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The claim thus becomes

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \ker \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j=1}^n (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_i f_j}, \quad (s_i)_i \mapsto (s_i - s_j)_{i,j} \right).$$

Here now is the key point: there exists at least one index  $i$  for which  $\mathfrak{p} \in D(f_i)$ , and for any such index we have

$$(R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_i} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_i f_j} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_j}$$

because  $f_i$  becomes a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus we may project  $\prod_{i=1}^n (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_{f_i}$  onto one factor to obtain a map from the right side to the left, and this is easily seen to be inverse to the map the other way.

## 5 Localization and stalks

Before continuing, let us clarify the relationship between algebraic localization of a ring at a prime ideal and the formation of stalks of a sheaf. For this purpose, let us temporarily assume the properties of the structure sheaf. Then the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is by definition the direct limit of  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  as  $U$  runs through all open subsets of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since the distinguished open sets form a neighborhood basis, this is the same as taking the direct limit of  $R_f$  as  $f$  runs through all elements of  $R$  not contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This is patently equal to the localization of  $R$  at the whole multiplicative set  $R - \mathfrak{p}$ , which by definition is  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Thanks to one of the homework problems, this identification implies that the diagonal map

$$R \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is injective; that is, we can view elements of  $R$  as functions from  $\text{Spec}(R)$  to the disjoint union  $\sqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  without losing any information.

## 6 Construction of the structure sheaf

We now turn this picture around and use it to establish the existence and uniqueness of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$ . The uniqueness is already clear now: up to unique isomorphism, we must take  $\mathcal{O}(U)$  to be the set of functions  $s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $s(\mathfrak{p}) \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$  which arise locally from ring elements; that is,  $U$  can be covered by some distinguished open subsets  $D(f)$  for each of which we can find a ring element  $r_f$  such that  $s_{D(f)} = r_f$  (that is, for  $\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)$ ,  $s(\mathfrak{p})$  is the image of  $r_f$  in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .)

The construction of this presheaf makes it clear that it is actually a sheaf. What is less obvious is that  $\mathcal{O}(D(f)) = R_f$ . More precisely, there is a natural map  $R_f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D(f))$  which we want to be an isomorphism.

To see that this map is injective, it will be enough to check directly the claim from the previous section, that

$$R_f \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is injective. For ease of notation, we may just do the case  $f = 1$ , and then apply it with  $R$  replaced by  $R_f$ . Suppose  $r \in R$  maps to zero in every  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The set

$$\text{Ann}(r) = \{r' \in R : rr' = 0\}$$

is an ideal of  $R$ , but by hypothesis it cannot be contained in any prime ideal; it is thus the trivial ideal, so  $r = 0$ .

To see that it is surjective, again we need only treat the case  $f = 1$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{O}(\text{Spec}(R))$  be a section. By hypothesis, we can cover  $\text{Spec}(R)$  with open subsets  $D(f_i)$  on each of which can represent  $s$  using an element  $r_i \in R_{f_i}$ . By the injectivity argument we just made, the elements  $r_i, r_j$  must have the same image in  $R_{f_i f_j}$ . Moreover, the elements  $f_i$  generate the unit ideal, so I can write 1 as a linear combination using only finitely many of them (that is,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is a *quasicompact* topological space: it is not typically Hausdorff but every open covering has a finite subcovering). So now I have a finite list of elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  and a set of elements  $r_i \in R_{f_i}$  such that  $r_i, r_j$  have the same image in  $R_{f_i f_j}$ ; by the earlier corollary, these come from a single element  $r \in R$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.