More on Galois actions, splitting, and ramification

pss posted to veb/(o(a)c

Review: decomposition and inertia groups L/K Extension of oursber fields. Galuis with grap G=Gul(L/K) #G=CL:K]=n f COK prime ideal Gacts trasitively on set of prime icleals at OL above p of q = one of the reporters, we defre de rayous 14 on group 6 := stabe (z)= (5 : 6 : 5 / z) = z] 69 -> Gal (OL/2/OK/10) (will be register tive) inerhaging Ig= Ker (this mp)

Decomposition mod inertia as the residual Galois group Pennde: if Fq is = the teld and Ff anextension of Fq, then bal (Ff / Fg) is such of order f, generated by $\chi \rightarrow \chi^{e}$ prof Gg - 7 Gel (OL/2/0K/p) is significante, su GIJg = Gal (OL/2/OK/2) If Jupore Kinthat G= 6g. Pick ZEOL/2) aprimtime ele say minport is gEOM/(2)(x). Lift I to ZEOL, let equal with The controlly fear(x) be its mapaly. The flas = 0= F(Z), siglf. Our OL, f splits, he liver tacker, so g splits one oulg) Sup & to ball (02/q/04/p) & (Z) is a rout of g v O2/p), so is g tro six rout Bot f. Pich & C(moving ~ to p.

The Frobenius element ^Sof a prime ideal <u></u> (- 2 $\left(\frac{5q}{I_q}\right) = \frac{6d}{2}\left(\frac{0L}{4}\right) \left(\frac{0K}{4}\right)$ KL X-7X9 Frozenius automorphism Netre a Fridering levent for g to be an poreinage of Note: C(g/p)f(g/p)= #69 and f(g/p)= (Gg: Ig) Mona AFEA minified the is use Forling chanfor & This cleant is not well-defined as a function of p (-ress & abelian] but its carigary class is.

The Chebotarëv density theorem (~ Inchlet's heren L/K Galis exters of # fields, (~ Inchlet's heren gmg=Great Frenhavne p of ok, part & col alove d, (mside Fraber - Fober is elevent of the E. Heren (Chebotarev) Each elevent of orma , Mag for Anitely may E (1): K= Q /= Q (9n), rerover Dirichlet's themen)

A corollary about splitting Cor There we in Knitely may prove tok which iplit completely in OL.

OrIFLEK thee creitinitely may provision of which do not pl, trapletely in L

A related comment about ramification

For K-te, C = K, there not be a minied pome (Hu) For K # Q L # K, it can happen that to prove ran, for K # Q L # K, it can happen that to prove ran, for (Ipw)

Decomposition and inertia fields T_{2} P_{2} P_{1} P_{2} P_{2} Zq=fixed field of Gq Ze qn2q 1 1 1 m 2nZq K f. Je=F=1 q my proof Labre Zn Zg So ve s Aue feld of f= resiductient 1/2 (L:24) n, --- (L. 24) TE= fixed field of JE $\frac{z}{1} = c = c(\frac{z}{1}) f = 1$ $\frac{z}{1} = c = c(\frac{z}{1}) f = 1$ $\frac{z}{1} = c = 1, f = f(\frac{z}{1}) f$ $\frac{z}{1} = c = 1, f = f(\frac{z}{1}) f$ $\frac{z}{1} = c = f(\frac{z}{1}) f$

Intermediate behavior of primes

Intermediate behavior of primes

Revisiting the cyclotomic case ptiodkomes pt=(-1) tp 9 totally 1/1,t (cyclic in Qe(Mp*)(=) (G:Gg) = even for q a prove of Qe(Sp) above 9 () finds of presin