Valuations

Normal schedule this week and next! (Last lecture December 11.)

PS 7 has been posted (but see corrections on Zulip).

Enrolled UCSD students can submit course evaluations until December 14. Please do!

The <u>Math 204B home page</u> is up; that course begins Monday, January 4. The course will be based in part on my <u>notes on class field theory</u>.

Multiplicative valuations (absolute values)

let Kber field. A mitpliertme valuetur (absolute value) 5, f. || |x| > 0, |x| = 0 < x = 0+ XEK 2) |xy| = |x|' |y|YX,YC-K 3)1×tyl=1×1+lyl (AE) triangle inequality Vx,xek The trial intration is 1×1, = (1 ×=0) Us-ally exdude this case.

Equivalence of valuations For 11:K-IR a absolute value (K, d) I a metalsqua the d(xy)=1x-y1. bet , deel methodology Two about the values /12, 11/2 are equilate if they let me same methic top ulagy. lighter of the line of the some (some gen balls) proprimestly, if 1.11 & 1-12 or equinety the Josu sit. IX2=1X1, for -1' xeK.

<u>A criterion for equivalence of valuations</u> Suppose 1.11, 1.12 and equilations The for XEK X is topologically up the t [X1_CAES{1, X, X, ...) connect (X1_X) ...) to 0 Pick y FK «.1. 14/1 > = The 14/2 > 2, 50 17/2=141, to/since (20 $\begin{array}{c} T_{Y} \xrightarrow{ay} x \in K^{\star}, \ hv \ ay \ rational \ number \ f/s \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta}, \\ |x|_{1} < |y|_{1}^{V/S} \longleftrightarrow |x|_{2} < |y|_{2}^{V/S} \\ \hline \\ T \end{array}$ $|x^r y^{-5}\rangle, < 1 <) < |x^r y^{-5}\rangle_{z}$

The approximation theorem let K be a field let 1.1, ..., 1.1 be parmise ingqualit absolute Laber on K. me bray a, grek and E>, Jxek it. $|X - \alpha_{1}|_{1} < \varepsilon$. $|X - \alpha_{n}|_{n} < \varepsilon$. $\frac{\partial F \delta_{Yn} = 2}{\partial g c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. / x |, \langle i, f. / x |, \langle i, f. / x |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. / x |, \langle i, f. / x |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. / x |, \langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c f i }$ $\frac{\partial F \delta_{Yn} = 2}{\partial g c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. / x |, \langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K} \xrightarrow{\langle i, f. | \beta |_2 \rangle}_{\mathcal{H} c K}$ <, f, / < / < 1, K / 2 / 1

Approximation and the CRT | $|_{2}$ eg. K= al | $|_{z}$ 92, 4 EZ 1× - az 12 2-5 1×-5313 3-7 $\times \in \mathbb{Z}_{(1)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ $=) \times \equiv a_2(mod_2 f) \\ \times \equiv a_3(mod_3 f)$ $\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{R}_{(n)} =)$ $\begin{array}{c} X_{1} \leq 1 \\ 2 \\ \overline{U} \\ \times - \overline{\alpha_{z}}_{1z} \leq 2 \end{array}$ G2129

Archimedean vs. nonarchimedean valuations 1º is archimeden if 2/11, 121, 131 ... 3 is unlanded CR (aK) Snunuchaineden if the set is bunded. (Firval survelainedem(=) show, triangu inequality por 1.1 is unuclainedem(=) Lolds: firal X, YEK Pt it strong D E LAdy, the 1×+++ Emar KIXI, M/ max (1x1, 1x1)

<u>The strong triangle inequality</u> $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ let / le 2 nonce chimeden val-utur on R. Me INSI VIEW. IF repulity durys holds. the set the induction, so must enst p s. (.) plc 1. The HAR (rrR: / n | < 13, s a trait onthin, pZ. singtheres =) If xral, 1x1p=1, the 1x1=1. $= \left| \mathcal{A}_{C} \right|$ s.t. $\left| \mathcal{K} \right| = \left| \mathcal{K} \right|_{C}$

Ostrowski's classification of valuations of Q Property valuation of is either - equinant to 1/p her some proce p or - equivalent to 1.100 - real alsolate value. It only read to meet the orthing dem rate. $unt du shaki. to mn \in \mathbb{Z}_{22},$ $|m|' x_{ym} = |m|' x_{ym}.$ (=) equintant to real debiol Ae ulm.)

Proof of Ostrowski's theorem unte min beste n. $m = A_0 + \cdots + a_r h^r$ $\begin{array}{c} q & (\epsilon < \delta, \dots, n - \tau) \\ | q & (\epsilon < \delta, \dots, n - \tau) \end{array}$ $r \leq log n / og n$. $|m| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i| |n|' \leq \left(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac$ for 4 >>0, ditre sure, mylace much me the h. th not. $|\mathcal{M}_{k}| \leq \left(\left| \frac{1}{1} \times \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1}$