

# Extension of valuations

I am planning to post some supplemental notes related to this lecture.

## Complete fields

Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of fields

Fix a multiplicative valuation  $|\cdot|_K$  on  $K$

Q - Does this extend to a multiplicative valuation on  $L$ ?

• If so, in how many ways?

e.g.  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

In this lecture assume  $K$  is complete.

ie, is there a valuation  $|\cdot|_L$  on  $L$  s.t.

for all  $x \in K$ ,  $|x|_L = |x|_K$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} |xy|_L &= |x|_L |y|_L \\ |x|_L + |y|_L &\geq |x+y|_L \end{aligned}$$

## Vector spaces over complete fields

Let  $K$  be a field complete w.r.t. absolute value  $|\cdot|_K$   
Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space.

Suppose given a function  $|\cdot|_V: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$\cdot |v|_V \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad |v|_V = 0 \iff v = 0$$

$$\cdot |v_1 + v_2|_V \leq |v_1|_V + |v_2|_V$$

$$\cdot |\lambda v|_V = |\lambda|_K |v|_V \quad \lambda \in K, v \in V.$$

Then  $V$  is complete w.r.t.  $|\cdot|_V$  in fact, if  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  a basis  
of  $V$ , set same topology as if  $|\cdot|_V$  used

$$\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n \longmapsto \max \{ |\lambda_1|_K, \dots, |\lambda_n|_K \}$$

# Vector spaces over complete fields

will show:  $\exists K, \kappa_2 > 0$  s.t.  $\kappa_2 \|x\|_{\text{sup}} \leq \|v\| \leq K \|x\|_{\text{sup}}$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sup}}$  is defined as on previous slide.

easy:  $\|\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n\| \leq \|\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n\|_{\text{sup}} \max\{\|e_1\|, \dots, \|e_n\|\}$   
 $= \max\{|\lambda_i|\} \cdot \max\{\|e_i\|\}$

induction on  $n$ :

$n=1$ : evident:  $\|v\|$  determined by its value on any one nonzero element.

$n > 1$ : Pick  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Let  $V_i = \text{span of } e_1, \dots, e_i, e_n$  — by induction,  $V_i$  is complete

so  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall v \in V_i$

$\Rightarrow V_i$  is closed w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|$ .  
 $\Rightarrow V_i + e_i$  is also closed.

$\|v + e_i\| \geq \varepsilon$ . Now if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in K$   
 $\|\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n\|$  is the biggest

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_n e_n}{\lambda_i} \right|_{\text{sup}} \geq \varepsilon \quad \kappa_2 = \min_{i > 0} \{ \varepsilon_i \}$$

## Extension of a complete field: statement nontrivial

Then let  $K$  be a field complete w.t. abs value  $|\cdot|_K$   
let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of fields.

Then there exists a unique absolute value  $|\cdot|_L$   
on  $L$  extending  $|\cdot|_K$

and moreover  $L$  is complete with respect to  
 $|\cdot|_L$ .

[Keep in mind: if  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $L = \mathbb{H}$  field, start with  $|\cdot|_p$  on  $K$ ,  
I set extensions  $|\cdot|_q$  for each prime  $q$  of  $L$  above  $p$ .]

## Extension of a complete field: completeness

Given a  $\mathbb{C}$ -extension  $L/\mathbb{C}$   
view  $L$  as a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

$$\text{Then } \|x\|_L = \underbrace{\|x\|_K}_{= \|x\|_L} \|x\|_L \quad \begin{matrix} \exists \epsilon > 0 \\ \forall x \in L \end{matrix}$$

$\Rightarrow L$  is complete.

## Extension of a complete field: uniqueness

Say  $|\cdot|_{L_1}, |\cdot|_{L_2}$  are two ~~distinct~~ absolute values on  $L$  extending  $|\cdot|_K$ .

They define the same topology.

So must be equivalent, i.e.

$$\exists c > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in L \quad |x|_{L_2} = |x|_{L_1}^c$$

6A  $\exists$  some  $x \in K$  s.t.  $|x|_K > 1$ ,  $\Rightarrow c = 1. \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ .

## About archimedean completions: Ostrowski revisited

Thm (Ostrowski) Let  $K$  be a field complete w.r.t an archimedean absolute value. Then  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Pf Sketch:  $K$  must be of char 0, so contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  
By Ostrowski I, restriction of  $|\cdot|_K$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equivalent to  $|\cdot|_\infty$ .

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subseteq K$$

Next step: if  $x \in K - \mathbb{R}$ , then  $x$  is quadratic over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  
idea: look at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  as a root of  $|x^2 - \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(z)x + \text{Norm}(z)|_K$   
show this must take value 0.



## Extension of a complete field: a candidate

Now suppose  $K$  is given a non-archimedean absolute value.

If extension of  $||\cdot||_K$  to  $L$  exists, it must

equal

$$||x||_L = |N_{L/K}(x)|_K^{1/[L:K]}$$

(if  $L/K$  Galois,  $n$  conjugates  $\Rightarrow \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$

$\sigma(x) \mapsto ||\sigma(x)||_L$  is also an abs value extending  $||\cdot||_K$ .)

$$||xy||_L = ||x||_L ||y||_L. \quad ||x||_L = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0.$$

## Extension of a complete field: the triangle inequality?

candidate  $|x|_L = |\text{Norm}_{L/K}(x)|_K^{1/[L:K]}$

can + this to satisfy

$$|x+y|_L \leq \max\{|x|_L, |y|_L\}.$$

wlog assume  $|x|_L \leq |y|_L \Rightarrow |x/y|_L \leq 1$

need to prove  $|x/y + 1|_L \leq 1$ .

Let  $P(T)$  be min poly of  $x/y$  over  $K$  (irreducible)

$$= T^m + a_{m-1}T^{m-1} + \dots + a_0, \quad \text{Given } |a_m|_K \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{m-1}|_K, \dots, |a_0|_K \leq 1.$$

## Preview of Hensel's lemma

Hensel's lemma will tell us that  
many polynomials over  $K$   
are actually reducible.