Artin reciprocity via adelic reciprocity



Statement of the Artin reciprocity law

let L/K be a rielin extension of # helds. A An neipricity i Forsone product of the finals the Alm map JK -> Gal(LIK) (u=0 faubristmongh JAIPM = CIP(K) (L=GISA) it he mp for Frob & E Ga (L/K) is defended by a congruence condition of ...

Statement of the adelic reciprocity law L/R whether CK=JK/K* (L=JL/2* Ideuclassing the enjor a cononical Adelic regnisty: the enjor a conor. Somerphism Gal(Like) = CK/Nom CL , F (' antzinsl, me $C_{nl(l/K)} \cong C_{K}/N_{nl(K)}$ $C_{nl(l/K)} \cong C_{K}/N_{nl(K)}$

Local reciprocity maps LIK = ale M. a exterior of V=place of K, w=place of Ladere v GV = Gal(Lu/KV) EG = Gal(L/K) VKV: Ky JGV SG

Local-global compatibility

RK: IK C FR((W) = []rK,V(K) Compahli, lity: This tacks through IK/K *= CK and this indus the is immy phism CK/NOIMLIK (L SG from better.

<u>Adelic reciprocity + local global = Artin reciprocity</u> L/K be a de'in esterior off tells. let ple a prime it k IT EK unturned at (Pun ranifie And at (I,..., IT, I, ...) av=(IT it v=p T P Via local relipionity this ups / I else Via local relipionity this ups / I else v IND p EGVE G V'A TR JCK JCK/NJML/KCL JG 112 Toper Many of CIM(K) Toper Many of traitendex

Refinements of Artin reciprocity This denotion - 110 mplas: - tre control m it L/K is A, vs. 14 only ly mited primes. - level it classical num map & secretedly provipial, dals=1 Nedp and Nolms of indeals of L reop.me to D LIK.

Example: cyclotomic extensions

in pi er. K=lk f = (p)l = ql(4) $K_{v}^{\star} = \left(\begin{array}{c} & \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \left(\left(\begin{array}{$ Cal (Lu/K) ECP) E (U/N) CK/MIMLIK (K. FRIN,

Reminder: the setup of abstract CFT elik fik K= field _16 11 unren, he d J: Gullk/KI ~>> R gut ent' A=G-modle=UAGK Gy=Gal(K/K) KM Kn, Ne Fur L/K cydic oxtensmilt knite exts $\begin{array}{c} H_{T}^{i}\left(\left(\operatorname{Cal}(L/K), A_{L} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} (L:K) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i = 1 \\ \end{array} \right) \\ V = A_{K} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{R}} & (\mathcal{L}_{K}) \\ V \left(\operatorname{Norm} K/k & A_{K} \right) = f_{K/K} & V(A_{K}) \\ \end{array}$

What needs to be done: the First Inequality limit to apply abstrat (FT for h = Q lA= UCK K/K trite FULLIK CY MIL esterion it # Frelds. $(m k h(C_{L}) = (L:K) (c h h)$ $H_{T}^{0}(\operatorname{bal}(L|k|, c)) > (L:k)$ men men First Inequality

What needs to be done: the Second Inequality Scand Ing vality: #14 (hall (4/k), C) < Like MT (LEAR how to show d. re My that or he MP (Gall (1/kl, (2) 1+ 1+ 7 (hall (4/k), G) is third.

Two approaches + conjutic argument (Dipiklet desity)

· algebraic opposite sing Kommer theory (similar to existince theorem)

<u>What needs to be done: the abstract reciprocity law</u> Apply abstract UT wh L = Q $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}$ $d: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{a}, p) \to \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{a}', q) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{$ V: CQ Some Atrial charles of TIRP ______ I Jun bal (le ^{sm(y}/ce) = R P 2 109 P P R (fursion) ~ choose !!! + Achine dad v = rossilting ison V_1K: Cell LIK = CK/NMURCL

<u>What needs to be done: local-global compatibility</u> Will ane tilly a try chamications he geta local-, lobal carpabli, liky (key: every abeline A of Ap by Ibral Knowle wile, is captchin ut a relative Aerion of R!)

Another approach: Galois cohomology

(mallo prove global (FT Sing Man Alldirect inplation a house ahoudary (pspecially MCGal(L/KI, LT) an hé (a (K/K), ET) = Braversnp of K.

<u>Parting thoughts (as time permits)</u>

see last Acture.