

Cohomology of the idèles I: the “First Inequality”

Hereditary inequality dates back to the Stone Age

Press release issued: 28 May 2012

Hereditary inequality began over 7,000 years ago in the early Neolithic era, with new evidence showing that farmers buried with tools had access to better land than those buried without.

The research, carried out by archaeologists from the Universities of Bristol, Cardiff, Oxford and Durham, is published in [PNAS](#) today [28 May].

By studying more than 300 human skeletons from sites across central Europe, Professor Alex Bentley and an international team of colleagues funded by the [Arts and Humanities Research Council](#) uncovered evidence of differential land access among the first Neolithic farmers – the earliest such evidence yet found.

Strontium isotope analysis of the skeletons, which provides indications of place of origin, indicated that men buried with distinctive Neolithic stone adzes (tools used for smoothing or carving wood) had less variable isotope signatures than men buried without adzes. This suggests those buried with adzes had access to closer – and probably better – land than those buried without.

Professor Bentley, Professor of Archaeology and Anthropology at the University of Bristol, said: “The men buried with adzes appear to have lived on food grown in areas of loess, the fertile and productive soil favoured by early farmers. This indicates they had consistent access to preferred farming areas.”



Reminder: the class field axiom

For abstract CRT, we need:

For L/K a cyclic extension of # fields,

$$\# \frac{1}{i} (\text{Gal}(L/K), C_L) = \begin{cases} [L:K] & (i=0, i=2) \\ \text{even} \\ 1 & (i=1, i=4) \\ \text{odd} \end{cases}$$

idic class group

$$C_L = \frac{I_L}{A_L^*}$$

The Herbrand quotient and the First Inequality

Today: compute
$$\left[h(L) = \frac{\# H_1^0(\text{Gal}(L/K), L)}{\# H_1^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L)} \right]$$
$$= [L:K]$$

$\Rightarrow \# H_1^0(\text{Gal}(L/K), L) > [L:K]$
"First Inequality"

A direct sum decomposition for cohomology

L/K finite Galois extension

$$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

$S =$ finite set of places of K

containing all infinite places

$$I_{L,S} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} I_{L,\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{w} \in T} L_{\mathfrak{w}}^* \times \prod_{\mathfrak{w} \notin T} \mathcal{O}_{L_{\mathfrak{w}}}^*$$

$$T = \left\{ \mathfrak{w} / \mathfrak{v} : \mathfrak{v} \in S \right\}$$

places of L

$\mathbb{Z} \backslash S$ A finitely large

$$I_L = \bigcup_S \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} I_{L,\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$I_L = I_{L,S} L^*$$

A direct sum decomposition for cohomology: proof

Prop (1.5.9) $H^i(G, \mathbb{I}_L) = \bigoplus_v H^i(G_w, L_w^*)$

(1.6.2) $H^i_+(G, \mathbb{I}_L) = \bigoplus_v H^i_+(G_w, L_w^*)$ (w some place of L above v)

Let $H^i(G, \mathbb{I}_L) = \varinjlim_S H^i(G, \mathbb{I}_L, S)$

assume S contains all ramified places

$$= \varinjlim_S \left(\bigoplus_{v \in S} H^i(G, \prod_{w|v} L_w^*) \times \prod_{v \notin S} H^i(G, \prod_{w|v} Q_w^*) \right)$$

Shapiro's lemma = $H^i(G_w, L_w^*)$ trivial.

H¹ and H² $\underbrace{H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0}$

$$H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{\nu} \frac{1}{(L_{\nu} \otimes K_{\nu})^{\otimes 2}} / \mathbb{Z}$$

local (FF) \rightarrow \forall finite

but also OK if ν is complex

if ν real and $G_{\nu} = Gal(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{R})$

$$H^2(Gal(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Q}^*) \cong H_T^0(Gal(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C}^*)$$

$$\cong \mathbb{R}^* / Norm_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{R}^* / \mathbb{R}^{*+} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

The norm subgroup is open

Set contains all infinite places, all real places,
and is big enough that $\mathbb{I}_L = \mathbb{I}_{L,S} \cdot L^\times$

$$\text{Norm}_{L/K} \mathbb{I}_{L,S} = \prod_{v \in S} U_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_{K_v}^\times$$

for some U_v open of finite index in K_v^\times
 \Rightarrow Norm L/K \mathbb{I}_L is open of finite index in C_K .

$$\Rightarrow C_K \cong \mathbb{I}_K / (K^\times \cdot \text{Norm}_{L/K} \mathbb{I}_L)$$

Cohomology of the units: first steps

$$\mathbb{I}_L = \mathbb{I}_{L,S} \cdot L^*$$

\mathbb{I}_L is a G -module $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ by def.

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L,S}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{L,S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_L \rightarrow 1 \quad \boxed{\text{surjective}}$$

$$\Rightarrow h(\mathbb{I}_{L,S}) = h(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}^*) h(\mathbb{C}_L)$$

$$= \prod_{v \in S} h(G_v, L_v^*) = \prod_{v \in S} \# H_v^0(G_v, L_v^*)$$

$$= \prod_{v \in S} [L_v : K_v]$$

so it'll suffice to show:
$$\boxed{h(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}^*) = \frac{1}{[L:K]} \prod_{v \in S} [L_v : K_v]}$$

A computation with S-units

$\cup G$

$G \supset \mathcal{O}$

$$V = \overline{\prod_{w \in T} \mathbb{R}}$$

$$T = \{w/v : v \in S\}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{L,S}^* \rightarrow V \quad \alpha \rightarrow (\log |x|_w)_w$$

image is a lattice M in $\mathbb{H} = \text{trace-zero } \mathcal{O}_G \text{ hyperplane in } V.$

$M_1 =$

$M + \langle (1, \dots, 1) \rangle$ is a lattice in $V.$

$$h(M_0) = h(M) \underbrace{h(\mathbb{Z})}_{=1} = (L:K).$$

$$\text{we need: } h(M_1) = \overline{\prod_{v \in S} [k_w : k_v]}.$$

Comparison of lattices

$M_2 = \prod_{w \in T} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow G$ is lattice in V

$$\text{with } h(G, M_2) = \prod_{v \in S} h(G, \text{Ind}_{G_v}^G \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \prod_{v \in S} h(G_v, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \prod_{v \in S} \# G_v = \prod_{v \in S} (\text{Lus. } G_v)$$

\prod
lemma
same

if M_1, M_2 are two G -stable lattices in \mathbb{R} -vector space, then $h(M_1) = h(M_2)$

Comparing Herbrand quotients of lattices

Pf If M_1, M_2 are comensurable (i.e. $M_1 \cap M_2$ has finite index in both), then $h(M_1) = h(M_1 \cap M_2) = h(M_2)$.

Point In general, $M_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $M_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ are \mathbb{Q} -linear sps of \mathbb{Q}^n which become isomorphic over \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow already isomorphic over \mathbb{Q} !

Lemma: F/E extension of (infinite) fields
 $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ group

V_1, V_2 are finite-dim E -vector spaces
with E -linear (right) G -action,

s.t. $V_1 \otimes_E F \cong V_2 \otimes_E F$ as G -modules.

Then $V_1 \cong V_2$.

Proof of the lemma $T \quad T^g(x) = T(x^{g^{-1}})^g$

$\hookrightarrow W = \text{Hom}_E(V_1, V_2) = V_1^V \oplus_E V_2$ (ad, out action)

$\hookrightarrow W_F = W \otimes_E F \cong \text{Hom}_F(V_1 \otimes_E F, V_2 \otimes_E F)$

$W \otimes_E F \cong W_F \longleftarrow$ assumed this contains

an isomorphism,
 That is: if $1 \neq x \in$ identification of underlying vector
 spaces $V_1 \cong V_2$ (not G -isomorphism!),

determinant function is not identically zero on W_F ,
 hence not identically zero on $W \otimes_E F$ either (b/c E infinite).

Corollary: splitting of primes

For L/K any normal extension of
a field, \exists infinitely many primes of K
that do not split completely in L .

PF If L/K is cyclic of prime order.

and all but finitely many primes split
completely, put the rest into S

Set $C_K = \text{Norm}_{L/K}(L)$, contradicts Frobenius inequality:
 $\# C_K / \text{Norm}_{L/K}(L) \geq [L:K]$

General case: Let M/K be Galois closure
of L/K .

$p \mid k$ splits completely in $L(\zeta_p)$

splits completely in M

So assume L/K is Galois, normal.

So $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong$ normal cyclic group
of prime order

(corresponding to

cyclic $L = K' / K$ of
prime order ~~K~~

Apply previous case to

L/K !