

Coperfections in mixed characteristic and applications



Prismatic and lens coperfection

$(A, \bar{I}) = \text{perfect prism}$

prismatic coperfection

$$\mathbb{D}R/A, \text{perf} = \text{colim}(\mathbb{D}_{R/A} \xrightarrow{\psi} \dots) \uparrow_{(P, I)} \bar{A}\text{-algebra}$$

lens coperfection

$$R/\text{lens} := \mathbb{D}_{R/A, \text{perf}} \otimes_A^L \bar{A} \in \text{D}_{\text{comp}}(R)$$

$$(R\text{-linear via } R \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{R/A} \Rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{R/A, \text{perf}} \otimes_A^L \bar{A} \in \text{D}_{\text{comp}}(R)$$

Both have no object structure. $\psi_R: \mathbb{D}_{R/A, \text{perf}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{R/A} \otimes_A^L \bar{A}$

Base independence of lens coproperfection

Let $(A, \mathbb{I}) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{J})$ be a morphism of structured
presheaves

$S \in \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}_S \overline{B}$ derived p -complete.

1. $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_S/A \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}_S/B$ is an isomorphism.

2. If $S = \mathbb{J}$ then $S \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{D}}_S/B$

3. $\mathcal{D}_S/A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_S/\mathbb{J} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_S/A, \text{perf} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_S/B, \text{perf}$
 \Rightarrow same lens structures

1, 2 \Leftarrow To derive take comparison, use $L_{\mathbb{J}/A}(\hat{\varphi}) = 0$.

1 \Rightarrow 3 Derived Nakayama

Coprefection for a crystalline prism

$$(A, \underline{I}) = \text{crystalline} = (W(\bar{A}), P)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathbb{D} R/A, \text{perf} &= W(R_{\text{perf}}) \\ R_{\text{perf}} &= R_{\text{perf}} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{in degree } 0.$$

Coperfection for a lens $\mathcal{R} = \text{lens}$ $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{prism}}$ \bar{A} (A, E)
perfect prism

$\Delta \mathcal{R}_A \cong W(\mathbb{R}^6)$ concentrated in degree 0

$\Delta \mathcal{R}_{A, \text{ref}}$ placed in degree 0
 $\mathcal{R}_{\text{lens}} = \mathcal{R}$ in degree 0 \ominus

Coperfection for the q-torus $(A, \mathcal{I}) = \text{coproduct char. } t$

$$R = \bar{A}(x^{\pm})^{\wedge}_{(p)}$$

$$\left(\mathbb{R}_p(\mathbb{L}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, (C_p)_\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

In this case, $H^1(\mathbb{D}_{R/A, \text{pet}}), H^1(R_{\text{class}}) \neq 0$

$$q^{r-n} \quad \frac{q^{r-1}}{q-1}$$

$$\bar{A} = \mathbb{R}_p(\mu_{p^{\infty}})^{\wedge}_{(p)}$$

$$\mathbb{R}/A_{\text{pet}} \left(A(x^{\pm} p^{-\infty}) \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} \mathcal{I} A(x^{\pm} p^{-\infty}) \right)^{\wedge}_{(p, \mathcal{I})}$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \ker(A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_p)$$

$$\gamma(x^{\pm}) = q^{\pm 1} x^{\pm}$$

\Rightarrow in degree 1, $(q-1) \cdot 1$ is not a boundary
given mod $(C_p)_q$.

- non-trivial H^1 relates to étale cohomology

Base change compatibility

(A, \mathcal{I}) perfect

$$R \mapsto R_{\text{ens}}$$

on derived \mathcal{O} -complete \bar{A} -algebras
 commutes with faithfully
 flat base change on (A, \mathcal{I})

Ingredients: $(R$ bounded p -procompletion,
 $(A, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (B, \mathcal{I}_B)$ faithfully flat.

$$R_{\text{ens}} \hat{\otimes}_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{B} \simeq S_{\text{ens}}$$

$$S = R \hat{\otimes}_A^L \bar{B}$$

(in degree 0)

$$\bar{\Delta}_{R/A} \hat{\otimes}_A^L \bar{B} \simeq \bar{\Delta}_{S/B}$$

H -filtration
 use analogous compatibility
 for cotangent complexes.

Coconnectivity of coperfection

(A, Σ) = perfect prism

$R =$ derived p -complete \bar{A} -alg.

Then $\Delta_{R/A, \text{perf}} \in D_{\text{comp}}^{\geq 0}(A)$.

\Uparrow
 $\Delta_{R/A, \text{perf}/p} \in D_{\text{comp}}^{\geq 0}(A/p)$

\Downarrow
 Lemma: $R_0 = \text{syzygies over } \mathbb{F}_p$
 Frobenius acts by ∂ on $H^{-i}(R_0) \forall i > 0$.

\hat{R} reduce to an explicit calculation.

$i=1$: work explicitly on $S_1 = \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \mathbb{F}_p = \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{1})$
 (and similar for higher i)

Coperfection for a semilens

(Remind: if $R = \text{semilens}$
then $D_{R/A, \text{pet}} \xrightarrow{\text{co}} \text{co}_{\text{cap}}(A)$)

In general, if

$D_{R/A, \text{pet}} \in D_{\text{cap}}^{\leq 0}(A)$, then

— concentrated
in degree 0, where it is a perfect (p, I) -
 δ - mvs complete

— $(D_{R/A, \text{pet}}, I_{D_{R/A, \text{pet}}})$ is a perfect prism
over (A, I)

$R_{\text{lens}} = \text{concentrated in degree } 0$ where I is a lens.
 $\xrightarrow{\text{ad}} R_{\text{lens}}$ is universal map from R to a lens.

$\Rightarrow \{ \text{semilenses} \} \subset \{ \text{lenses} \}$ has a left adjoint.

Adjunction of roots to a perfect prism

$(A, \mathbb{I}) = \text{perfect prism}$ $P \in \overline{A}[x]$ monic

$\Rightarrow \exists$ faithfully flat $(A, \mathbb{I}) \rightarrow (B, \mathbb{I}_B)$

s.t. P has a root in B .

PF $R = \overline{A}[\ast P^{-\infty}]_P / (P)$
is p -adically faithfully flat over A .
is a regular scheme.

$B = \Delta_{R/A}$, set works

The André flatness lemma

Let $R = k[x]$

then \rightarrow p -torsion $k[x]$ faithfully flat morph

$R \rightarrow S$ of $k[x]$ s.t.

S is absolutely integrally closed

(every monic poly has a root).

The direct summand conjecture

One ingredient in

Thm (Andre - Bhatt)

(Conjecture
of
Hochster)

$R = R_S$ ^{local ring}
_{finite}

$R \rightarrow S$ injective sur map

\Rightarrow splits in mods

also need perfectoid Abhyankar
lemma
(a form of almost purity)

Zariski closed vs. strongly Zariski closed

$\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{S}$ $\{ \text{sem, lens} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{lens} \}$
left adjoint.

in fact, $\mathcal{R} = \text{sem, lens}$

$\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \text{ lens}$ is surjective
!!