

Applications of the étale comparison theorem



arstechnica.com

Will the Metallica table help pinball "ride the lightning" to relevance?

It wasn't that long ago that pinball seemed like it might be heading for that big drain in the sky. Even with the stealth rebirth of the arcade generating new interest, good luck finding a pinball machine instead of a redemption game that spits out tick...

Reminder: statement of the étale comparison theorem

(A, I) perfect prism $I = (d)$

$R =$ derived p -complete \bar{A} -algebra

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } R(p^{-1}), \underline{R/p^a}) \cong \left(\underbrace{\bigwedge_{R/A} (d^{-1})}_{\cong \bigwedge_{R/A, \text{pet}} (d^{-1})} / p^a \right)^{\varphi=1}$$

Tilting of valuation rings revisited

Thm $V = \text{Int} S$. Then V is an ARC valuation ring
iff V^b is.

Need to prove "i.f" direction discrete also closed

Argument: V, V^b have same value group, residue field

$\Rightarrow \text{Int} V$ can't have any finite unramified extension
or any finite tamely ramified extension.

If $\text{Int} V$ is not alt. closed, then any unramified finite Galois
ext is totally wildly ramified, so its Galois group is p -group
& has $\sim \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ wt $\Rightarrow \chi_{\text{ct}}(\text{Spec } V(p^{-1}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$
 \Rightarrow by étale cohomology

How this relates to perfectoid fields

Then $K = \text{perfectoid field}$. K^b is its tilt
from $G_K \cong G_{K^b}$. \nwarrow uses Krasner's lemma
in particular, $K = \overline{K} \iff K^b = \overline{K^b}$ \leftarrow previous slide

After proof: show that finite extension of
a perfectoid field is still a perfectoid field.

here we use something like:

K embeds into a perfectoid field which is AC.
(take \widehat{K})

A lemma on Frobenius invariants

$k = \text{an algebraically closed field of char } p > 0$

$M \in D(k)$ perfect complex (i.e. up to quasi-isomorphism, bounded, finite-dim.)

\cup
 φ . Then $\forall i$, $H^i(M^{\varphi=1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k \rightarrow H^i(M)$

is isomorphic with equality. \forall

My case: if $M = M(\mathcal{O})$ send $\varphi: H^i(M)$ is bijective. φ bijective, true

$M^{\varphi=1} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k \cong M$ (non-abelian Artin-Schreier, Lang, Katz)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow M^{\varphi=1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Dimensions in étale and de Rham cohomology

$\mathbb{C} = \text{complete}$, \leftarrow is usual extension of \mathbb{C}_p $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$
 \sim \mathbb{C} rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$, residue field k $\{\eta, \text{Spec } k\}$

$X = \text{smooth proper } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}\text{-scheme}$

$X_{\eta} = \text{generic fiber}, X_k = \text{special fiber.}$

Then. $(i)_*, 0$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\eta}, \mathbb{F}_p) \leq \dim_k \underline{H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_k/k)}$$

PF sketch: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} = A/I \quad (A, I) \rightarrow (w, \mathbb{C}_p) \downarrow$

combine with

$$R\Gamma_A(X) = R\Gamma(X, \Delta_{X/A}) \quad H^i(R\Gamma_A(X)) \otimes_A^L k$$

Tate twists

uses crystalline cohomology

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_{\text{ét}}(X_{\eta}, \mathbb{F}_p) \leq \dim_k H_{\text{ét}}(X_k/k)$$

$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(\dots)$ (uses previous lemma + étale comp.) $\dim_k H^i(\mathcal{R}\Gamma_A(X) \otimes_A^L k)$

$$H^i(\mathcal{R}\Gamma_A(X) \otimes_A^L \mathbb{Q}^b) \leftarrow \text{semicontinuity for perfect complexes. we } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^b}$$

lemma: $K^\bullet = \text{perfect complex in } D(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^b})$

for each i , $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}^b} H^i(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}^b}^L \mathbb{Q}^b) \leq \dim_k H^i(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}^b}^L k)$

$$\boxed{e_{ii}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^b} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^b}}$$

Comparison of the two constructions

on X_{arc} $\mathcal{R}_p(1) = \varprojlim \mu_{p^m}$

$$\mu_{p^m} = \ker(G_m \xrightarrow{p^m} G_m)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_p(1) = \mathcal{R}_p(1)_{\text{ét}} \text{ (over } \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

Lemma

$R \text{ II } \mathbb{Z}_p$, for $n > 0$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } R(\frac{1}{p}), \mathcal{R}_p(n)) = (\varphi^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} A)$$

$$R = (\bar{A}/I) \quad I = (d)$$

for $n = 0$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } R, \mathcal{R}_p) = (A_{(v)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} A) = \mathcal{R}_p(v)$$

this gives a primitive interpretation of μ_{p^n} -
 (again, use v.c.-dependent to reduce to a calculation) etc. when with

Tate twists and the comparison theorem

Preview: F_p -cohomological dimension

The key lemma and what it needs