



The relative class number one problem for function fields, I

Kiran S. Kedlaya

Department of Mathematics, University of California San Diego
kedlaya@ucsd.edu

These slides can be downloaded from <https://kskedlaya.org/slides/>.
Jupyter notebooks available from <https://github.com/kedlaya/same-class-number>.

Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium (ANTS-XV)
University of Bristol
August 9, 2022

Supported by  (grants DMS-2053473 and prior) and  (Warschawski Professorship).

The UC San Diego campus sits on unceded ancestral land of the [Kumeyaay Nation](#).

The University of Bristol was chartered using funds predominantly derived from the transatlantic slave trade.

The problem

Let F'/F be a finite extension of function fields of curves over finite fields. Let $g_F, g_{F'}$ be the genera of F and F' . Let $q_F, q_{F'}$ be the cardinalities of the base fields* of F, F' .

Let $h_F, h_{F'}$ be the class numbers of F and F' . The ratio $h_{F'/F} := h_{F'}/h_F$ is always an integer (more on this shortly). Following Leitzel–Madan (1976), we ask: in what cases does $h_{F'/F} = 1$?

To make this a potentially finite problem, we only specify the isomorphism classes of F and F' , not the inclusion (this only makes a difference when $g_F \leq 1$). We also ignore the trivial cases:

- $F' \cong F$;
- $g_F = g_{F'} = 0$.

*By “base field” I mean the integral closure of the prime subfield.

Contrast with the number field case

In the number field setting, class number 1 is much more common, because class groups are always “incomplete”. The product

$$\text{class number} \times \text{unit regulator}$$

behaves much more predictably, and can be interpreted as the volume of a natural compact topological group (the **Arakelov class group**).

For relative class number 1, one can only hope for a finiteness result for (nontrivial) extensions which preserve the unit rank, i.e., CM fields.[†] For **normal** CM fields, finiteness was proved by Odlyzko and the full classification (under GRH) by Hoffman–Sircana.

By contrast, the full Picard group of a function field looks like $\mathbb{Z} \times (\text{finite})$ and removing one point always takes out \mathbb{Z} .

[†]A **CM field** is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field.

Constant vs. geometric extensions

We say that:

- F'/F is **constant** if $F' = F \cdot \mathbb{F}_{q_{F'}}$;
- F'/F is **purely geometric** (hereafter **geometric**) if $q_F = q_{F'}$.

Let E be the compositum $F \cdot \mathbb{F}_{q_{F'}}$; then E/F is constant and F'/E is geometric. Since the relative class number is always an integer, $h_{F'/F} = 1$ if and only if $h_{E/F} = h_{F'/E} = 1$.

The relative class number one problem thus reduces to the constant and geometric cases. The constant case is relatively easy, so in this talk I will focus on the geometric case. Hereafter, unless specified assume F'/F is geometric and write

$$q := q_F = q_{F'}, \quad g := g_F, \quad g' := g_{F'}.$$

The Prym variety

Let C, C' be the curves with function fields F, F' . We have an isogeny of abelian varieties

$$J(C') \cong J(C) \times A$$

for some abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q , called the **Prym variety**. We have[‡]

$$h_{F'/F} = \#A(\mathbb{F}_q) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, if $\#A(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1$ and $F' \neq F$, then:

- we have $q \leq 4$ by the Weil bounds;
- for $q = 3, 4$, A is isogenous to a product of the unique elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_q with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1$;
- for $q = 2$, A is isogenous to a product of simple factors classified by Madan–Pal–Robinson in 1977.

[‡]This holds even if F'/F is not geometric, and explains why $h_{F'/F} \in \mathbb{Z}$ as promised.

A lower bound on point counts

Let T_{A,q^n} be the trace of the q^n -power Frobenius on A ; then

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = \#C'(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) + T_{A,q^n} \geq T_{A,q^n}.$$

For $q = 3, 4$, we have $1 = \#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - T_{E,q}$ and so[§]

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq T_{A,q} = q \dim(A) = q(g' - g) \geq q(g - 1).$$

For $q = 2$, we can have $T_{A,q} = 0$, so there is no useful bound on $\#C(\mathbb{F}_2)$. But using the Madan–Pal–Robinson classification, data from LMFDB for $\dim(A) \leq 6$, and a bit of linear programming, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &1.3366 T_{A,2} + 0.3366 T_{A,4} + 0.1137(T_{A,8} - T_{A,2}) \\ &\quad + 0.0537(T_{A,16} - T_{A,4}) \geq 1.5612 \dim(A) \implies \\ &1.3366 \#C(\mathbb{F}_2) + 0.3366 \#C(\mathbb{F}_4) + 0.1137(\#C(\mathbb{F}_8) - \#C(\mathbb{F}_2)) \\ &\quad + 0.0537(\#C(\mathbb{F}_{16}) - \#C(\mathbb{F}_4)) \geq 1.5612(g' - g) \geq 1.5612(g - 1). \end{aligned}$$

[§]The estimate $g' - g \geq g - 1$ follows from Riemann–Hurwitz.

Comparison with upper bounds on point counts

We now compare with effective “linear programming” upper bounds on $\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ (Ihara, Drinfeld–Vlăduț, Oesterlé, Serre).

$$q = 4 : \quad \#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq 1.435g + 21.75$$

$$q = 3 : \quad \#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq 1.153g + 11.67.$$

For $q = 2$, let a_i be the number of degree- i closed points on C ; then

$$a_1 + 0.3366(2a_2) + 0.1382(3a_3) + 0.0537(4a_4) \leq 0.8042g + 5.619.$$

For each q , combining this slide with the previous one limits (g, g') to an explicit finite list.

We have now reduced the relative class number one problem to a finite computation! However, some care is required to make this tractable; the computation is **mostly** finished in this paper, up to some loose ends.

Outline of the finite computation for $g \leq 1$

Reminder: for $g \leq 1$, we are only trying to identify the isomorphism classes of C and C' , not the map.

- For each possible pair (g, g') , enumerate candidate Weil polynomials for C and C' in SAGEMATH.[¶]
- For each pair of Weil polynomials, if possible, use LMFDB to identify all C and C' with those Weil polynomials. LMFDB contains data about abelian varieties over finite fields (Dupuy–K–Roe–Vincent) and Jacobians (Howe, Xarles, Dragutinović).

This only fails in two cases with $q = 2, g = 1, g' = 6$. In one of these, C' is ruled out by an argument of Grantham–Howe–Faber (based on Serre's resultant criterion). In the other, there exists a suitable C' which is a cyclic 5-fold étale cover of a certain genus-2 curve. **Loose end:** uniqueness.

[¶]This uses C code of mine dating back to 2008.

Outline of the finite computation for $g > 1$

- For each pair (g, g') , use Riemann–Hurwitz to compute options for $d = [F' : F]$.
- Use further constraints based on d to eliminate some triples (d, g, g') .
- For each remaining triple (d, g, g') :
 - Enumerate Weil polynomials for C and C' using SAGEMATH. (The rate-limiting cases are $(d, g, g') = (2, 8, 15), (2, 9, 17)$.)
 - Use LMFDB to identify all C with a suitable Weil polynomial. **Loose end:** do this for $q = 2, g = 6, 7$.
 - For each C , use class field theory in MAGMA to find all cyclic extensions F'/F of the right degree and genus, then check the relative class number.
 - If $d > 2$, use the Weil polynomial constraints to rule out all noncyclic extensions. For $q > 2$, we only need to handle $d = 3$. **Loose end:** do this for $q = 2$.

Loose ends

We have completed the finite computation for $q = 3, 4$. For $q = 2$, there are three remaining steps.

- For $g = 1$, $g' = 6$, we must check that there is only one candidate for C' . This uses a technique of Howe which uses the particular shape of the zeta function to force C' to admit an order-5 automorphism.
- For $g > 1$, we have $d \leq 7$ and this is sharp (!). Ruling out noncyclic extensions requires studying the zeta functions of other quotients of the Galois closure; similar ideas were used by Rigato to sharpen upper bounds on the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points on a genus- g curve.
- For $d = 2$, we have $g \leq 7$ and this is sharp (!!). For $g = 6, 7$ we do not (yet!) have a table of isomorphism classes of genus- g curves over \mathbb{F}_2 , so we make a targeted enumeration over M_g to find these curves.

These three steps are elaborated in two subsequent papers “The relative... II, III” (currently available as preprints).

Summary of the results, part 1

Theorem

Assume F'/F is constant and $g_F > 0$. Then (q_F, d, g_F) is one of

$$(2, 2, 1), (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (2, 3, 1), (3, 2, 1), (4, 2, 1)$$

and all options for F are known.

Theorem

Assume F'/F is geometric, $g_F \leq 1$, and $g_{F'} > g_F$. Then

$$(q_F, g_F, g_{F'}) \in \{(2, 0, 1), (2, 0, 2), (2, 0, 3), (2, 0, 4), (2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 3), (2, 1, 4), (2, 1, 5), (2, 1, 6), (3, 0, 1), (3, 1, 2), (3, 1, 3), (4, 0, 1), (4, 1, 2)\}$$

and all options for (F, F') are known except when $g_{F'} = 6$.

Summary of the results, part 2

Theorem

Assume F'/F is geometric, $g_{F'} > g_F > 1$, and $q_F > 2$. Then

$$(q_F, d, g_F, g_{F'}) \in \{(3, 2, 2, 3), (3, 2, 2, 4), \\ (3, 2, 3, 5), (3, 3, 2, 4), (4, 2, 2, 3), (4, 3, 2, 4)\}$$

and all options for F'/F are known and cyclic.

Theorem

Assume F'/F is geometric, $g_{F'} > g_F > 1$, $q_F = 2$, and $d > 2$. Then

$$(d, g_F, g_{F'}) \in \{(3, 2, 4), (3, 2, 6), (3, 3, 7), (3, 4, 10), \\ (4, 2, 5), (4, 2, 6)^\star, (4, 3, 9)^\star, (5, 2, 6), (6, 2, 7)^\star, (7, 2, 8)\}$$

and all **cyclic** options are known (covering all cases not marked \star).

Summary of the results, part 3

Theorem

Assume F'/F is geometric, $g_{F'} > g_F > 1$, $q_F = 2$, and $d = 2$. Then

$$(g_F, g_{F'}) \in \{(2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 5), \\ (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 7), (4, 8), (5, 9), (6, 11), (7, 13)\}$$

and all options with $g_F \leq 5$ are known. There are at least two examples with $g_F = 6$ and at least one with $g_F = 7$.

What about larger relative class numbers?

In principle, one can use similar techniques to solve the relative class number m problem^{||} for any fixed $m > 1$, with two caveats.

- It is probably hopeless to classify abelian varieties A over \mathbb{F}_2 with $\#A(\mathbb{F}_2) = m$. However, it should be possible to make a direct linear programming argument to establish a useful lower bound on some linear combination of traces of A .
- We cannot hope to exclude noncyclic extensions. One alternative might be a good method to enumerate degree- d extensions of a fixed function field; for $d = 3, 4, 5$ this should be doable^{**} using Bhargava's parametrizations.

^{||}Again, when the base field has genus 0 or 1, one can only hope to describe the isomorphism classes of the two fields and not the morphism.

^{**}In the number field setting, this was done by Belabas for $d = 3$.