Computing *L*-series of hyperelliptic curves and distributions of Frobenius eigenvalues

Kiran S. Kedlaya

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

July 3, 2009

joint work with Andrew Sutherland

http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.2278
http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.4462

ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

L-series of curves

Let *C* be a (smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible) curve of genus g over a number field *K*. The *L*-series associated to *C* is the Dirichlet series given by the Euler product

$$L(C,s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C, \operatorname{Norm}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1}$$

in which $L_{p}(C, T)$ is a polynomial to be described shortly.

The product converges absolutely for $\text{Real}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$, conjecturally with analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} and functional equation $s \mapsto 2 - s$ with a specified gamma factor. This is only known in general for $g = 1, K = \mathbb{Q}$.

Motivating problem: compute enough terms of L(C, s) to compute (conditionally) $L^{(i)}(C, 1)$ to high precision. (See Dokchitser, Computing special values of motivic *L*-functions.)

L-polynomials

For p a prime of good reduction for *C*, with residue field \mathbb{F}_q , the *L*-polynomial $L_p(C, T)$ is equal to (1 - T)(1 - qT) times the zeta function

$$\zeta(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_q},T)=\prod_{x\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_q}}(1-T^{[\kappa(x):\mathbb{F}_q]})^{-1},$$

where *x* runs over closed points of the scheme $C_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We also have

$$\zeta(C_{\mathbb{F}_q},T) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{n} \# C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})\right)$$

For \mathfrak{p} of bad reduction, $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C, T)$ can be read off from a minimal regular model of *C* at \mathfrak{p} . There are only a finite number of such \mathfrak{p} ; I'll mostly ignore them. Instead, we ask how to efficiently compute $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C, T)$ for all \mathfrak{p} of good reduction with Norm(\mathfrak{p}) $\leq N$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Distribution of Frobenius eigenvalues

The polynomial $L_p(C, T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ has degree 2*g* and constant coefficient 1, and satisfies

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C,T) = q^g T^{2g} L_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(C,rac{1}{qT}
ight).$$

Moreover, the roots of $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C, T)$ in \mathbb{C} have norm $q^{-1/2}$. Multiplying by $q^{1/2}$ gives the *normalized roots*, which lie on the unit circle.

Motivating problem: compute enough $L_{p}(C, T)$ to detect the limiting joint distribution of the normalized roots. Only finitely many possibilities; more on them later.

Computing $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C, T)$ for g = 1

For *C* of the form $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$, one way to compute $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(C, T)$ is to enumerate $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This requires $\tilde{O}(q)$ steps.

For all but the smallest q, it is faster to examine the group $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ using Shanks's baby-step-giant-step method. Since we know $|q + 1 - C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le 2q^{1/2}$, this requires $\tilde{O}(q^{1/4})$ steps.

For *q* very large, one should (after Schoof, Elkies, Atkin) first compute $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ modulo some small primes ℓ , by computing the ℓ -division polynomial (over *K*) and factoring modulo \mathfrak{p} . To get up to $q \sim 2^{32}$, this is only relevant for $\ell \leq 5$. (In cryptography, one considers only a single value of *q*, but it could be as big as 2^{160} or more.)

Computing $L_{p}(C, T)$ for g > 1

To compute $L_p(C, T)$ by counting points, one must count over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} for n = 1, ..., g. Better is to balance this against baby-step-giant-step on the Jacobian group $J(C)(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The analogue of Schoof's algorithm for g > 1 is much less tractable (though Gaudry and Schost recently carried it out for $q = 2^{127} - 1$ and g = 2).

A better approach uses an explicit *p*-adic Weil cohomology. For $g = 2, K = \mathbb{Q}$, this is better for $q > 2^{32}$; for hyperelliptic curves with $g = 3, K = \mathbb{Q}$, the crossover is closer to $q > 2^{16}$.

Weil cohomology

For \mathfrak{p} of good reduction, there are several ways to construct a vector space $H^1(C)$ equipped with an endomorphism F, such that

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = 1 + q^n - \operatorname{Trace}(F^n, H^1(C)).$$

One of these is étale cohomology; this amounts to the dualized Tate module $V_{\ell}(C)^{\vee}$. This is hard to write down concretely.

Another is *p*-adic (rigid/crystalline) cohomology, which is much easier to write down concretely (though more difficult to prove theorems about). In fact, as a vector space, it can be identified with the algebraic de Rham cohomology $H^1_{dR}(C)$; the hard part is to compute the operator *F*. This is usually computed using a method due to Monsky and Washnitzer.

Example of *p*-adic cohomology: a hyperelliptic curve

Let *C* be the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = P(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} , with *P* of odd degree without repeated roots. Then $H^1_{dR}(C)$ is equal to the algebraic de Rham cohomology of the affine curve, which is freely generated by

$$\frac{x'\,dx}{y} \qquad (i=0,\ldots,2g-1).$$

(Remember that 2y dy = P'(x) dx.) By writing down relations in cohomology like

$$0 \equiv d\left(\frac{A(x)}{y^s}\right) = \frac{A'(x)\,dx}{y^s} - \frac{sA(x)P'(x)\,dx}{2y^{s+2}}$$

we can explicitly write any 1-form in terms of the basis. Note that none of this refers explicitly to a prime p.

Example of *p*-adic cohomology: a Frobenius action

Now pick a prime p; we expect to get a matrix describing the Frobenius action, with entries in \mathbb{Q}_p (which we will only compute to some *p*-adic accuracy, enough to determine the zeta function).

One way to compute the Frobenius action is to construct a continuous endomorphism *F* of a certain weak *p*-adic completion of $\mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]/(y^2 - P(x), yz - 1)$ satisfying $F(x) = x^p$. This amounts to using Newton iteration to solve for

$$F(y) = F(P(x))^{1/2} = y^{p} \left(1 - pz^{2p} \frac{P(x)^{p} - P(x^{p})}{p}\right)^{1/2}$$

This requires $\tilde{O}(p)$ steps. For *p* large, David Harvey has found a method requiring $\tilde{O}(p^{1/2})$ steps; this is what we used.

Shameless advertisement for *p*-adic cohomology

p-adic cohomology can be used effectively for computing zeta functions of high-genus curves and higher-dimensional varieties, which most other methods cannot.

Sample: Magma can compute the zeta function of a genus 50 hyperelliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_3 .

Sample: Abbott, K, Roe computed some zeta functions of quartic K3 surfaces over \mathbb{F}_p for $p \leq 23$. (Direct point counts require $\tilde{O}(p^{20})$ steps.) A method of Lauder can probably do even better.

Sample: Alan Lauder computed *L*-functions of elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{F}_7 to see whether 100% of them have analytic rank 0 or 1. They do! (In the number field case, a bias towards rank 2 persists as far as has been computed.)

Eigenvalue distributions for elliptic curves over $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$

From now on, we mostly take $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and write $L_p(T)$ for $L_p(C, T)$.

Curves with complex multiplication

All elliptic curves with CM have the same limiting distribution. This follows from classical results. (Over a number field K, one must distinguish between whether or not the CM is defined over K.)

Conjecture (Sato-Tate)

For any elliptic curve without CM, the limiting distribution of the normalized trace of Frobenius is the semicircular distribution.

Proven by Clozel, Harris, Shepherd-Baron, and Taylor (2006), provided E does not have purely additive reduction.

Unitarized L-polynomials

The polynomial

$$ar{L}_{
ho}(T)=L_{
ho}(T/\sqrt{
ho})=\sum_{i=0}^{2g}a_iT^i$$

is a real symmetric polynomial whose roots lie on the unit circle.

Every such polynomial arises as the characteristic polynomial $\chi(T)$ of some matrix in USp(2g) ($2g \times 2g$ complex matrices that are both unitary and symplectic).

Note that the coefficients satisfy $|a_i| \leq {2g \choose i}$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()~

The Katz-Sarnak model

Conjecture (Katz-Sarnak)

For a typical curve of genus g, the distribution of $\overline{L}_p(T)$ converges to the distribution of $\chi(T)$ in USp(2g).

"Typical" means curves with large Galois image. For g = 2 this is equivalent to $End(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. no CM).

This conjecture is known to be true "on average" for universal families of hyperelliptic curves (including all genus 2 curves).

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()~

The Haar measure on USp(2g)

Let $e^{\pm i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\pm i\theta_g}$ denote the eigenvalues of a random matrix (conjugacy class) in USp(2g). The Weyl integration formula yields the Haar measure

$$\mu = \frac{1}{g!} \left(\prod_{j < k} (2\cos\theta_j - 2\cos\theta_k) \right)^2 \prod_j \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2\theta_j d\theta_j \right).$$

In genus 1 we have USp(2) = SU(2) and $\mu = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta d\theta$, which is the Sato-Tate distribution.

Note that
$$-a_1 = \sum 2 \cos \theta_j$$
 is the trace.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Moment sequences

The *moment sequence* of a random variable *X* is

$$M[X] = (E[X^0], E[X^1], E[X^2], \ldots).$$

Provided X is suitably bounded, M[X] exists and uniquely determines the distribution of X.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

1

Moment sequences

The *moment sequence* of a random variable *X* is

$$M[X] = (E[X^0], E[X^1], E[X^2], \ldots).$$

Provided X is suitably bounded, M[X] exists and uniquely determines the distribution of X.

Given sample values x_1, \ldots, x_N for X, the nth *moment statistic* is the mean of x_i^n . It converges to $E[X^n]$ as $N \to \infty$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()~

Moment sequences

The *moment sequence* of a random variable *X* is

$$M[X] = (E[X^0], E[X^1], E[X^2], \ldots).$$

Provided X is suitably bounded, M[X] exists and uniquely determines the distribution of X.

Given sample values x_1, \ldots, x_N for X, the nth *moment statistic* is the mean of x_i^n . It converges to $E[X^n]$ as $N \to \infty$.

If X is a symmetric integer polynomial of the eigenvalues of a random matrix in USp(2g) (e.g. the trace), then M[X] is an *integer* sequence (follows from representation theory). Similarly for any subgroup of USp(2g).

The typical trace moment sequence in genus 1

Using the measure μ in genus 1, for $t = -a_1$ we have

$$E[t^n] = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (2\cos\theta)^n \sin^2\theta d\theta.$$

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

3

The typical trace moment sequence in genus 1

Using the measure μ in genus 1, for $t = -a_1$ we have

$$E[t^n] = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} (2\cos\theta)^n \sin^2\theta d\theta.$$

This is zero when *n* is odd, and for n = 2m we obtain

$$E[t^{2m}] = \frac{1}{2m+1} \binom{2m}{m}.$$

and therefore

$$M[t] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5, 0, 14, 0, 42, 0, 132, \ldots).$$

This is sequence A126120 in the OEIS.

通 とう ほう う ほうし

The typical trace moment sequence in genus g > 1

A similar computation in genus 2 yields

```
M[t] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 14, 0, 84, 0, 594, \ldots),
```

which is sequence A138349, and in genus 3 we have

 $M[t] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 15, 0, 104, 0, 909, \ldots),$

which is sequence A138540.

The *n*th moment of the trace in genus *g* is equal to the number of returning lattice paths in \mathbb{Z}^g satisfying $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_g \ge 0$ at every step (a Weyl chamber) [Grabiner-Magyar].

The trace moment sequence of a CM curve in genus 1

For an elliptic curve with CM we find that

$$E[t^{2m}] = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m}, \quad \text{for } m > 0$$

yielding the moment sequence

$$M[t] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 10, 0, 35, 0, 126, 0, \ldots),$$

whose even entries are A008828.

Where does this fit in a random matrix model?

通 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

Exceptional distributions in genus 2

We surveyed the distributions of the genus 2 curves:

$$y^2 = x^5 + c_4 x^4 + c_3 x^3 + c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0,$$

$$y^2 = b^6 x^6 + b_5 x^5 + b_4 x^4 + b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0,$$

with integer coefficients $|c_i| \le 64$ and $|b_i| \le 16$. More than 10^{10} curves were tested.

We found over 30,000 non-isomorphic curves with exceptional distributions, about 20 distinct shapes.

All apparently converge to integer moment sequences.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > … 回

Genus 2 exceptional distributions (one example)

For a hyperelliptic curve whose Jacobian is the direct product of two elliptic curves, we compute $M[t] = M[t_1 + t_2]$ via

$$\mathsf{E}[(t_1+t_2)^n] = \sum \binom{n}{i} \mathsf{E}[t_1^i] \mathsf{E}[t_2^{n-i}].$$

For example, using

$$\begin{split} &M[t_1] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5, 0, 14, 0, 42, 0, 132, \ldots), \\ &M[t_2] = (1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 10, 0, 35, 0, 126, 0, 462, \ldots), \end{split}$$

we obtain A138551,

 $M[t] = (1, 0, 2, 0, 11, 0, 90, 0, 889, 0, 9723, \ldots).$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Analyzing the data in genus 2

Some survey highlights:

- At least 19 distinct distributions were found. This is exceeds the possibilities for End(C), Aut(C), or MT(C).
- Some obviously correspond to split Jacobians, but many do not. The same distribution can arise for curves with split and simple Jacobians.
- Some have positive zero-trace densities, some do not.
- The a_1 distribution appears to determine the a_2 distribution.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()~

Random matrix subgroup model

Conjecture

For a genus g curve C, the distribution of $\overline{L}_p(T)$ converges to the distribution of $\chi(T)$ in some infinite compact subgroup $H \subseteq USp(2g)$.

Equality holds if and only if C has large Galois image. Serre describes a candidate for H using the motivic Galois group of C.

Serre also shows that this follows from analytic information (analytic continuation and nonvanishing at the end of the critical strip) of the *L*-function *and its symmetric powers*.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● の Q ()~

Representations of genus 1 distributions

The Sato-Tate distribution has H = USp(2g), the typical case.

For CM curves, consider the subgroup of USp(2) = SU(2):

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i\cos\theta & i\sin\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \right\}.$$

This is a compact group (the normalizer of SO(2) in SU(2)).

Its Haar measure yields the desired moment sequence. (Over a field containing the CM, one instead gets just SO(2).)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Candidate subgroups in genus 2

In genus 2 we have subgroups analogous to the two in genus 1.

Additionally, we consider embeddings of the two genus 1 groups as block diagonal matrices, where we allow "twisting" by *k*th roots of unity that lie in a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} (so *k* is 1,2,3,4, or 6).

This restriction corresponds to the requirement that $L_p(T)$ have integer coefficients (and yields integer moment sequences).

See http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.4462 for details.

A conjecturally complete classification in genus 2

This model yields a total of 24 candidates in addition to USp(4) itself. Every distribution found in our survey has a distribution matching one of these candidates.

Initially we found only 19 exceptional distributions, but careful examination of the survey data yielded 3 missing cases.

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

A conjecturally complete classification in genus 2

This model yields a total of 24 candidates in addition to USp(4) itself. Every distribution found in our survey has a distribution matching one of these candidates.

Initially we found only 19 exceptional distributions, but careful examination of the survey data yielded 3 missing cases.

One of the remaining 2 candidates was recently ruled out by Serre, who suggests that the other is also similarly obstructed.

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

Supporting evidence

In addition to the trace moment sequences, for each candidate subgroup $H \subseteq USp(4)$ we may also consider the component group of *H* and the dimension of *H*.

Partitioning the $\bar{L}_{p}(T)$ data according to suitable constraints on p yields the predicted component distributions.

The dimension of *H* predicts the cardinality of the mod ℓ Galois image. For small ℓ we estimate this by counting how often the ℓ -Sylow subgroup of $J(C/\mathbb{F}_p)$ has full rank.

Open questions

 Consider the zero-trace densities that arise in genus 2. Can one prove that the list

0, 1/6, 1/4, 1/2, 7/12, 5/8, 3/4, 13/16, 7/8

is complete in genus 2?

- Is there a lattice path interpretation for each of the identified subgroups in USp(4)? (Probably, using Littelmann's work on crystal bases.)
- Can one give a group-theoretic explanation of the list of subgroups we found? (Some ideas from Serre.)
- What happens in genus 3, and beyond?

Computing *L*-series of hyperelliptic curves and distributions of Frobenius eigenvalues

Kiran S. Kedlaya

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

July 3, 2009

joint work with Kiran Kedlaya

http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.4462

Kiran S. Kedlaya Computing L-series of hyperelliptic curves and distributions of Fro

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト